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## I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## A. Background

On January 16, 2024, the City of Costa Mesa (City) City Council gave first reading to Ordinance 2024-XX (Ordinance), which would modify Title 13 (Planning, Zoning, and Development) of the Costa Mesa Municipal Code by establishing an Inclusionary Housing program. Under defined circumstances the Ordinance allows an in-lieu fee to be paid as a means of fulfilling the Inclusionary Housing obligations.

Keyser Marston Associates, Inc. (KMA) was engaged by the City to assist in creating in-lieu fee schedules for apartment and ownership housing developments that would be subject to the Ordinance requirements. The following report describes the methodology and assumptions that KMA used in preparing this Inclusionary Housing Program: In-lieu Fee Analysis (In-Lieu Fee Analysis).

The Ordinance imposes the following basic requirements on residential development:

1. Residential projects with 15 or more units are subject to the Ordinance requirements.
2. The income and affordability requirements are set as follows:
a. Apartment development:
i. Projects developed at a density level of 60 units per acre or greater must allocate $11 \%$ of the units to low income households, or $7 \%$ of the units to very low income households.
ii. Projects developed at a density level of less than 60 units per acre must allocate $6 \%$ of the units to low income households, or $4 \%$ of the units to very low income households.
iii. Projects with 50 or fewer units have the right to pay an in-lieu fee at the developer's discretion.
b. An incentive is provided for the developers of ownership housing developments to pay an in-lieu fee to fulfill the Inclusionary Housing requirements. The in-lieu fee calculations are based on a moderate income Inclusionary Housing requirement.

The In-Lieu Fee Analysis is based on development prototypes that were included in the Inclusionary Housing: Financial Evaluation (Financial Evaluation) report prepared by KMA in July 2023 and a supplementary analysis prepared in November 2023. In addition, this analysis was updated to reflect the utilities allowances published by the Orange County Housing Authority on October 1, 2023 and the changes in mortgage interest rates. ${ }^{1}$

## B. Findings

The results of the KMA financial analysis are summarized in the following text and tables:

## Base In-Lieu Fee Amounts

| Recommended Base In-Lieu Fee Payment Amounts <br> Per Square Foot of: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Total Leasable or Saleable Area in a Residential Project |  |

The in-lieu fee payment amount should be re-evaluated at least every five years. To allow in-lieu fees to keep pace with changes in the marketplace during the intervening periods, the in-lieu fees should be adjusted each year based on the percentage change in new home prices in Orange County.

## In-Lieu Fee Schedules

Inclusionary housing requirements have a disproportionate impact on smaller projects, because there are fewer market rate units available to spread the impact created by the income and affordability standards. To reflect this, KMA recommends that the City provide a discounted in-lieu fee on a sliding scale basis for residential projects that consist of between 15 and 20 units. The base in-lieu fee would be applicable for all projects that include 21 or more residential units.

[^0]KMA recommends that the in-lieu payment schedules presented in the following table be applied. The recommended fees are presented in 2024 Dollars.

| Recommended In-Lieu Fee Payment Schedule Per Square Foot of Total Leasable or Saleable Area in a Residential Development |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Apartment Development |  | Ownership |
|  | Density: 60+ | Density: <60 | Housing |
| Total Units | Units Per Acre | Units Per Acre | Development |
| 15 | \$3.73 | \$1.97 | \$2.53 |
| 16 | \$7.46 | \$3.94 | \$5.06 |
| 17 | \$11.19 | \$5.91 | \$7.59 |
| 18 | \$14.91 | \$7.89 | \$10.11 |
| 19 | \$18.64 | \$9.86 | \$12.64 |
| 20 | \$22.37 | \$11.83 | \$15.17 |
| 21+ | \$26.10 | \$13.80 | \$17.70 |

KMA recommends that the following in-lieu fee schedules be applied to a fractional "Inclusionary Unit" obligation incurred by a residential development. The recommended fractional in-lieu fee amounts are presented in 2024 dollars.

| Fractional In-Lieu Fee Payment Calculations <br> Per Square Foot of the Leasable Area of One Unit in an Apartment Development @ Density: 60+ Units Per Acre |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Affordability Average Unit | Inclusionary Unit (Square Feet of Leasable Area) | $\begin{gathered} \$ 221,700 \\ 933 \end{gathered}$ |
| In-Lieu Fee Per | e Foot of One Unit | \$237.60 |
| Fraction | Fractional In-Lieu Fee: <br> Per Square Foot of One Unit | Total Fractional In-Lieu Fee: Prototype Apartment Development |
| 0.10 | \$23.80 | \$22,210 |
| 0.20 | \$47.50 | \$44,330 |
| 0.30 | \$71.30 | \$66,540 |
| 0.40 | \$95.00 | \$88,660 |
| 0.50 | \$118.80 | \$110,870 |
| 0.60 | \$142.60 | \$133,080 |
| 0.70 | \$166.30 | \$155,200 |
| 0.80 | \$190.10 | \$177,410 |
| 0.90 | \$213.80 | \$199,530 |
| 1.00 | \$237.60 | \$221,740 |

Fractional In-Lieu Fee Payment Calculations
Per Square Foot of the Leasable Area of One Unit in an
Apartment Development @ Density: <60 Units Per Acre


| Fractional In-Lieu Fee Payment Calculations Per Square Foot of the Saleable Area of One Unit in the Ownership Housing Development Prototype |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Affordability Average Unit | Inclusionary Unit quare Feet of Saleable Area) | $\begin{gathered} \$ 608,000 \\ 1,620 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| In-Lieu Fee Per | e Foot of One Unit | \$375.30 |
| Fraction | Fractional In-Lieu Fee: Per Square Foot of One Unit | Total Fractional In-Lieu Fee: Prototype Ownership Development |
| 0.10 | \$37.53 | \$60,800 |
| 0.20 | \$75.06 | \$121,600 |
| 0.30 | \$112.59 | \$182,400 |
| 0.40 | \$150.12 | \$243,190 |
| 0.50 | \$187.66 | \$304,010 |
| 0.60 | \$225.19 | \$364,810 |
| 0.70 | \$262.72 | \$425,610 |
| 0.80 | \$300.25 | \$486,410 |
| 0.90 | \$337.78 | \$547,200 |
| 1.00 | \$375.31 | \$608,000 |

## II. APPROACH

The purpose of the In-Lieu Fee Analysis is to estimate the in-lieu fee amounts that can be supported by apartment and ownership housing developments. The KMA In-Lieu Fee Analysis is based on the evaluation of prototype developments that were used in the Financial Evaluation and the Inclusionary Housing requirements included in the Ordinance.

The foundational premise of this analysis is that an in-lieu fee should correlate to the "Affordability Gap" associated with producing the affordable units required by the Inclusionary Housing Program. The Affordability Gap can generally be defined as the difference between the achievable market rate rents or sales prices and the allowable rents or sales prices for the designated Inclusionary Units.

## III. ANALYSIS ORGANIZATION

The KMA analysis is supported by the following Attachments and Appendices:

## Attachment 1: Affordable Housing Cost Calculation Methodologies

| Appendix A: | Affordable Rent Calculation Methodology |
| :--- | :--- |
| Appendix B: | Affordable Sales Price Calculation Methodology |

## Attachment 2: Apartment Development

| Appendix A: | Rent Survey 4+ Star Properties |
| :--- | :--- |
| Appendix B: | Affordable Rent Calculations |
| Appendix C: | In-Lieu Fee Calculations |

## Attachment 3: Ownership Housing Development

| Appendix A: | Home Resales Survey |
| :--- | :--- |
| Appendix B: | Affordable Sales Price Calculations |
| Appendix C: | In-Lieu Fee Calculations |

The recommended in-lieu fee payment amounts were estimated based on the Affordability Gaps associated with the following requirements:

1. Apartment development:
a. Projects developed at a density level of 60 units per acre or greater must allocate $11 \%$ of the units to low income households, or $7 \%$ of the units to very low income households.
b. Projects developed at a density level of less than 60 units per acre must allocate $6 \%$ of the units to low income households, or $4 \%$ of the units to very low income households.
2. The Ordinance applies an $8 \%$ moderate income Inclusionary Housing production requirement to ownership housing development. To create an incentive for developers to select the in-lieu fee option, this analysis calculates the fee based on a discounted rate tied to a $5 \%$ moderate income requirement.

The imposition of Inclusionary Housing requirements creates a disproportionate impact on small development projects. To mitigate this impact, KMA created discounted in-lieu fee schedules for projects that consist of between 10 and 20 units. In -lieu fee payment schedules are provided for any fraction of an Inclusionary Unit that is required to be produced under the Ordinance requirements.

## IV. APARTMENT DEVELOPMENT ANALYSIS

## A. Apartment Rent Estimates

## Market Rate Rents

KMA surveyed apartment projects that received 4+ stars in the CoStar quality ranking system (Attachment 2: Appendix A). Based in part on this survey, the market rate apartment rents used in this analysis are presented in the following table.

| Projected Market Rate Rents <br> Apartment Development |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Number of Bedrooms | Monthly Rent |
| Studio | $\$ 2,410$ |
| 1 | $\$ 2,970$ |
| 2 | $\$ 3,660$ |
| 3 | $\$ 3,330$ |

The weighted average rent equates to approximately $\$ 3.52$ per square foot of leasable area.

## Affordable Rents

The methodology used to calculate the Affordable Rents is described in Attachment 1: Appendix A, and the calculations are presented in Attachment 2: Appendix B. The results are summarized in the following table:

| Affordable Rents |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apartment Development Prototype |  |  |

## B. Estimated Affordability Gaps - Apartment Development

KMA estimated the Affordability Gaps associated with apartment development using the following calculation methodology:

1. The differences between the estimated achievable market rate rents and the defined Affordable Rents were calculated for studio, one-bedroom, two-bedroom, and three-bedroom apartment units.
2. KMA assumed that the property taxes for projects that include designated affordable housing units would be based on a lower assessed value due to the reduction in net operating income that would be generated by the project. KMA deducted this lower property tax expense from the estimated rent difference.
3. The estimated "Net Annual Rent Difference Per Inclusionary Unit" represents the annual rent difference minus the estimated property tax savings.
4. The "Affordability Gap Per Inclusionary Unit" is estimated by capitalizing the Net Annual Difference Per Inclusionary Unit at the threshold return derived from a pro forma analysis of the prototype apartment development at unrestricted market rate rents.

The Affordability Gap calculations are provided in Attachment 2: Appendix C, and the results are summarized in the following table:

| Estimated Affordability Gaps Per Inclusionary Unit Apartment Development |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Density: 60+ Units Per Acre | Density: <60 Units Per Acre |
| Low Income Units | \$221,700 | \$221,000 |
| Very Low Income Units | \$364,300 | \$363,500 |

## C. In-Lieu Fee Calculations - Apartment Development

The Affordability Gaps Per Inclusionary Unit were converted into the in-lieu fee payment that would be required to fulfill the Inclusionary Housing requirements on site within a proposed market rate apartment development. The conversion calculations are presented in Attachment 2: Appendix C, and the recommended base in-lieu fee payment amounts are summarized in the following table.

> Recommended Base In-Lieu Fee Payment Amounts Per Square Foot of Total Leasable Area in an Apartment Development

Density at 60+ Units Per Acre $\$ 26.10$
Density at Less than 60 Units Per Acre
\$13.80

To mitigate the disproportionate impact an Inclusionary Housing obligations creates on small projects, KMA prepared a discounted in-lieu fee schedule for apartment developments that consist of between 15 and 20 units. The recommended in-lieu fee schedules, which are presented in 2024 dollars, are provided in the following table:

| Recommended In-Lieu Fee Payment Schedule Per Square Foot of Total Leasable Area in an Apartment Development |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Units | Density: 60+ Units Per Acre | Density: <60 Units Per Acre |
| 15 | \$3.73 | \$1.97 |
| 16 | \$7.46 | \$3.94 |
| 17 | \$11.19 | \$5.91 |
| 18 | \$14.91 | \$7.89 |
| 19 | \$18.64 | \$9.86 |
| 20 | \$22.37 | \$11.83 |
| 21+ | \$26.10 | \$13.80 |

Some developers may choose to pay a fee in lieu of fulfilling an obligation to produce a fraction of an Inclusionary Unit. The following tables provide schedules of fractional in-lieu fee payments for apartment development, presented in 2024 dollars:

| Fractional In-Lieu Fee Payment Calculations Per Square Foot of the Leasable Area of One Unit in an Apartment Development @ Density: 60+ Units Per Acre |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Affordability <br> Average Unit | Inclusionary Unit Square Feet of Leasable Area) | $\begin{gathered} \$ 221,700 \\ 933 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| In-Lieu Fee Per | re Foot of One Unit | \$237.60 |
| Fraction | Fractional In-Lieu Fee: <br> Per Square Foot of One Unit | Total Fractional In-Lieu Fee: Prototype Apartment Development |
| 0.10 | \$23.80 | \$22,210 |
| 0.20 | \$47.50 | \$44,330 |
| 0.30 | \$71.30 | \$66,540 |
| 0.40 | \$95.00 | \$88,660 |
| 0.50 | \$118.80 | \$110,870 |
| 0.60 | \$142.60 | \$133,080 |
| 0.70 | \$166.30 | \$155,200 |
| 0.80 | \$190.10 | \$177,410 |
| 0.90 | \$213.80 | \$199,530 |
| 1.00 | \$237.60 | \$221,740 |


| Fractional In-Lieu Fee Payment Calculations Per Square Foot of the Leasable Area of One Unit in an Apartment Development @ Density: <60 Units Per Acre |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Affordability <br> Average Unit | Inclusionary Unit quare Feet of Leasable Area) | $\begin{gathered} \$ 221,000 \\ 931 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| In-Lieu Fee Per | re Foot of One Unit | \$237.40 |
| Fraction | Fractional In-Lieu Fee: Per Square Foot of One Unit | Total Fractional In-Lieu Fee: Prototype Apartment Development |
| 0.10 | \$23.70 | \$22,060 |
| 0.20 | \$47.50 | \$44,220 |
| 0.30 | \$71.20 | \$66,280 |
| 0.40 | \$95.00 | \$88,440 |
| 0.50 | \$118.70 | \$110,500 |
| 0.60 | \$142.40 | \$132,560 |
| 0.70 | \$166.20 | \$154,720 |
| 0.80 | \$189.90 | \$176,780 |
| 0.90 | \$213.70 | \$198,930 |
| 1.00 | \$237.40 | \$221,000 |

## V. OWNERSHIP HOUSING DEVELOPMENT ANALYSIS

## A. Sales Price Estimates

## Market Rate Sales Prices

KMA compiled a survey of homes that were sold over the previous year (Attachment 3: Appendix A).
Based in part on this survey, the projected market rate sales prices are presented in the following table.

| Projected Market Rate Sales Prices <br> Ownership Housing Development |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Number of Bedrooms | Sales Prices |
| 2 | $\$ 890,000$ |
| 3 | $\$ 1,083,000$ |
| 4 | $\$ 1,211,00$ |

The weighted average sales price equates to approximately $\$ 651$ per square foot of saleable area.

## Affordable Sales Prices

The methodology used to calculate the Affordable Sales Prices is described in Attachment 1: Appendix $B$, and the calculations are presented in Attachment 3: Appendix B. The results are summarized in the following table:

| Affordable Sales Prices |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ownership Housing Development |  |
| Number of <br> Bedrooms |  |
| 2 | Moderate Income |
| 3 | $\$ 412,700$ |
| 4 | $\$ 451,700$ |

## B. Estimated Affordability Gaps - Ownership Housing Development

The Affordability Gaps that were derived from the KMA analysis of ownership housing development are presented in the following table:

|  | Estimated Affordability Gaps Per Inclusionary Unit <br> Ownership Housing Development |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of <br> Bedrooms | Market Rate <br> Price | Moderate <br> Income Price | Affordability <br> Gap |
| 2 | $\$ 890,000$ | $\$ 412,700$ | $\$ 477,300$ |
| 3 | $\$ 1,083,000$ | $\$ 451,700$ | $\$ 631,300$ |
| 4 | $\$ 1,211,000$ | $\$ 478,700$ | $\$ 732,300$ |

## C. In-Lieu Fee Calculations - Ownership Housing Development

The weighted average Affordability Gaps are translated into the in-lieu fee payment that would be required to fulfill the Inclusionary Housing requirements on site within a proposed market rate ownership housing development. These calculations are presented in Attachment 3: Appendix C, and the results are summarized in the following table:

| Recommended In-Lieu Fee Payment <br> Ownership Housing Development |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Weighted Average Affordability Gap Per Inclusionary Unit <br> In-Lieu Fee Payment Per Square Foot of Saleable Area | $\$ 608,000$ |

To mitigate the disproportionate impact an Inclusionary Housing obligations creates on small projects, KMA prepared a discounted in-lieu fee schedule for ownership housing developments that consist of between 15 and 20 units. The recommended in-lieu fee schedule, which is presented in 2024 dollars, is provided in the following table:

| Recommended In-Lieu Fee Payment Schedule <br> Ownership Housing Development Prototype |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | In-Lieu Fee Per Square |
| Total Units | Foot of Saleable Area |
| 15 | $\$ 2.53$ |
| 16 | $\$ 5.06$ |
| 17 | $\$ 7.59$ |
| 18 | $\$ 10.11$ |
| 19 | $\$ 12.64$ |
| 20 | $\$ 15.17$ |
| $21+$ | $\$ 17.70$ |

The following table provides a schedule of fractional in-lieu fee payments for developers that choose this option for fulfilling an obligation to produce a fraction of an Inclusionary Unit. The fractional inlieu fee schedule is presented in 2024 dollars:

| Fractional In-Lieu Fee Payment Calculations <br> Per Square Foot of the Saleable Area of One Unit in the Ownership Housing Development Prototype |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Affordability Average Unit | Inclusionary Unit quare Feet of Saleable Area) | $\begin{gathered} \$ 608,000 \\ 1,620 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| In-Lieu Fee Per | F Foot of One Unit | \$375.30 |
| Fraction | Fractional In-Lieu Fee: <br> Per Square Foot of One Unit | Total Fractional In-Lieu Fee: Prototype Ownership Development |
| 0.10 | \$37.53 | \$60,800 |
| 0.20 | \$75.06 | \$121,600 |
| 0.30 | \$112.59 | \$182,400 |
| 0.40 | \$150.12 | \$243,190 |
| 0.50 | \$187.66 | \$304,010 |
| 0.60 | \$225.19 | \$364,810 |
| 0.70 | \$262.72 | \$425,610 |
| 0.80 | \$300.25 | \$486,410 |
| 0.90 | \$337.78 | \$547,200 |
| 1.00 | \$375.31 | \$608,000 |

## VI. CONCLUSIONS / RECOMMENDATIONS

## A. Recommended Base In-Lieu Fee Payment Amounts

The in-lieu fees presented in the preceding table represent the amounts that would need to be charged to provide the City with sufficient funds to produce the same number of affordable units in similar locations and product types as the market rate residential projects that are subject to the requirements proposed to be imposed by the Ordinance.

Based on the requirements proposed to be imposed by the Ordinance, and the results of the preceding analysis, KMA recommends that the following base in-lieu fee payment amounts be applied in 2024:

| Recommended Base In-Lieu Fee Payment Amounts <br> Per Square Foot of: |
| :--- | :--- |
| Total Leasable or Saleable Area in a Residential Project |

It is KMA's opinion that an in-lieu fee measured against the square footages of the units corresponds more closely to the Affordability Gap than an in-lieu fee that is measured by the number of units in the development. As such, KMA recommends that the in-lieu fee be based on the leasable area for apartment developments and the saleable area for ownership housing developments.

## B. Recommended In-Lieu Fee Schedules

In recognition of the disproportionate impact Inclusionary Housing requirements have on small projects, KMA recommends that the following in-lieu fee schedules be applied in 2024:

| Recommended In-Lieu Fee Payment Schedule Per Square Foot of Total Leasable or Saleable Area in a Residential Development |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Apartment Development |  | Ownership |
| Total Units | Density: 60+ Units Per Acre | Density: <60 Units Per Acre | Housing Development |
| 15 | \$3.73 | \$1.97 | \$2.53 |
| 16 | \$7.46 | \$3.94 | \$5.06 |
| 17 | \$11.19 | \$5.91 | \$7.59 |
| 18 | \$14.91 | \$7.89 | \$10.11 |
| 19 | \$18.64 | \$9.86 | \$12.64 |
| 20 | \$22.37 | \$11.83 | \$15.17 |
| 21+ | \$26.10 | \$13.80 | \$17.70 |

KMA recommends that the following in-lieu fee schedules be applied in 2024 to fractional Inclusionary Unit obligations incurred by a residential development:

| Fractional In-Lieu Fee Payment Calculations Per Square Foot of the Leasable Area of One Unit in an Apartment Development @ Density: 60+ Units Per Acre |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Affordability Average Unit | Inclusionary Unit quare Feet of Leasable Area) | $\begin{gathered} \$ 221,700 \\ 933 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| In-Lieu Fee Per | F Foot of One Unit | \$237.60 |
| Fraction | Fractional In-Lieu Fee: Per Square Foot of One Unit | Total Fractional In-Lieu Fee: Prototype Apartment Development |
| 0.10 | \$23.80 | \$22,210 |
| 0.20 | \$47.50 | \$44,330 |
| 0.30 | \$71.30 | \$66,540 |
| 0.40 | \$95.00 | \$88,660 |
| 0.50 | \$118.80 | \$110,870 |
| 0.60 | \$142.60 | \$133,080 |
| 0.70 | \$166.30 | \$155,200 |
| 0.80 | \$190.10 | \$177,410 |
| 0.90 | \$213.80 | \$199,530 |
| 1.00 | \$237.60 | \$221,740 |


| Fractional In-Lieu Fee Payment Calculations <br> Per Square Foot of the Leasable Area of One Unit in an Apartment Development @ Density: <60 Units Per Acre |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Affordability Average Unit | Inclusionary Unit quare Feet of Leasable Area) | $\begin{gathered} \$ 221,000 \\ 931 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| In-Lieu Fee Per | re Foot of One Unit | \$237.40 |
| Fraction | Fractional In-Lieu Fee: Per Square Foot of One Unit | Total Fractional In-Lieu Fee: Prototype Apartment Development |
| 0.10 | \$23.70 | \$22,060 |
| 0.20 | \$47.50 | \$44,220 |
| 0.30 | \$71.20 | \$66,280 |
| 0.40 | \$95.00 | \$88,440 |
| 0.50 | \$118.70 | \$110,500 |
| 0.60 | \$142.40 | \$132,560 |
| 0.70 | \$166.20 | \$154,720 |
| 0.80 | \$189.90 | \$176,780 |
| 0.90 | \$213.70 | \$198,930 |
| 1.00 | \$237.40 | \$221,000 |


| Fractional In-Lieu Fee Payment Calculations Per Square Foot of the Saleable Area of One Unit in the Ownership Housing Development Prototype |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Affordability Average Unit | Inclusionary Unit quare Feet of Saleable Area) | $\begin{gathered} \$ 608,000 \\ 1,620 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| In-Lieu Fee Per | re Foot of One Unit | \$375.30 |
| Fraction | Fractional In-Lieu Fee: Per Square Foot of One Unit | Total Fractional In-Lieu Fee: Prototype Ownership Development |
| 0.10 | \$37.53 | \$60,800 |
| 0.20 | \$75.06 | \$121,600 |
| 0.30 | \$112.59 | \$182,400 |
| 0.40 | \$150.12 | \$243,190 |
| 0.50 | \$187.66 | \$304,010 |
| 0.60 | \$225.19 | \$364,810 |
| 0.70 | \$262.72 | \$425,610 |
| 0.80 | \$300.25 | \$486,410 |
| 0.90 | \$337.78 | \$547,200 |
| 1.00 | \$375.31 | \$608,000 |

## C. Recommended Inclusionary Housing Program Updates

KMA recommends that the Inclusionary Housing Program be updated at regular intervals:

1. The entire Inclusionary Housing Program should be re-evaluated at least every five years.
2. To allow in-lieu fees to keep pace with changes in the market place during the intervening periods, the in-lieu fees should continue to be adjusted each year based on the following process:
a. Median sales value information for "All New Residential Development" in Orange County should be compiled annually. The Redfin Corporation currently produces and publishes this information.
b. The increase or decrease in the in-lieu fee should be based on the year-to-year percentage change in the median new home sales price.

## ATTACHMENT 1

AFFORDABLE HOUSING COST CALCULATION METHODOLOGIES INCLUSIONARY HOUSING: IN-LIEU FEE ANALYSIS COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA

## APPENDIX A <br> AFFORDABLE RENT CALCULATION METHODOLOGY

## ASSUMPTIONS

The Affordable Rent calculations are presented in Attachment 2 - Appendix B. The calculations are based on the following assumptions:

1. The household income information used in the calculations is based on 2023 income statistics for Orange County as a whole. This information is published annually by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) and distributed by the California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD).
2. The household size appropriate for the unit is based on the California Health and Safety Code (H\&SC) Section 50052.5 standard of the number of bedrooms in the home plus one. ${ }^{1}$ H\&SC Section 50052.5 refers to this as "the family size appropriate for the unit." This is a benchmark that is used for calculation purposes only. It is neither an occupancy minimum nor a maximum.
3. The benchmark household incomes used in the Affordable Rent analyses are based on the following standards:
a. The low income rents are based on $80 \%$ of area median income (AMI). This percentage of AMI is based on the standard imposed in Assembly Bill 1505.
b. The very low income rents are based on $50 \%$ of AMI, which is the standard imposed by H\&SC Section 50053.
c. The affordable rents used in the California Government Code Section 65915 et seq. density bonus analyses are based on the household income standards imposed by H\&SC Section 50053.
4. Thirty percent (30\%) of defined household income is allocated to housing-related expenses.
5. The following monthly utilities allowances were applied in this analysis. ${ }^{2}$
[^1]| Utility Allowances <br> Apartment Development |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Number of <br> Bedrooms | Monthly Utilities <br> Allowances |
| Studio | $\$ 95$ |
| 1 | $\$ 111$ |
| 2 | $\$ 148$ |
| 3 | $\$ 183$ |

## AFFORDABLE RENTS

The resulting affordable rents are presented in the following table:

| Affordable Rents <br> Apartment Development |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of <br> Bedrooms |  |  | Very Low <br> Income |
| Studio | $\$ 1,694$ |  | $\$ 1,023$ |
| 1 | $\$ 1,934$ | $\$ 1,167$ |  |
| 2 | $\$ 2,152$ | $\$ 1,290$ |  |
| 3 | $\$ 2,373$ | $\$ 1,415$ |  |

## APPENDIX B <br> AFFORDABLE SALES PRICE CALCULATION METHODOLOGY

## ASSUMPTIONS

The Affordable Sales Price calculations are presented in Attachment 3 - Appendix B. The calculations are based on the following assumptions:

1. The household income information used in the calculations is based on 2023 income statistics for Orange County as a whole:
a. The household incomes for low income households are produced by HUD and distributed by HCD.
b. The household incomes for moderate income households are produced and distributed annually by HCD.
2. The Affordable Sales Price estimates are based on the calculation methodology imposed by H\&SC Section 50052.5.

The elements included in the Affordable Sales Price calculations are described in the following sections of this Attachment.

## Household Size

For the sole purposes of calculating Affordable Sales Prices, H\&SC Section 50052.5 sets household sizes based on the number of bedrooms in the home plus one. As discussed previously, this is not an occupancy minimum or maximum. Rather, it is a benchmark that creates a consistent Affordable Sales Price calculation methodology.

## Household Income

For calculation purposes only, H\&SC Section 50052.5 applies benchmark household incomes as the standard for determining the Affordable Sales Prices. These benchmarks are based on the following percentages of the Orange County AMI:

| Income Category | \% of AMI |
| :---: | :---: |
| Moderate | $110 \%$ |
| Low | $70 \%$ |

The identified benchmark percentages of AMI are not income caps. The household income qualification standards are set at the upper limits presented in the HCD and are based on the actual size of the homebuyer's household.

## Income Allocated to Housing-Related Expenses

H\&SC Section 50052.5 allocates the following percentages of the benchmark household incomes to the payment of housing-related expenses:

| Income Category | \% of Benchmark <br> Income |
| :---: | :---: |
| Moderate | $35 \%$ |
| Low | $30 \%$ |

## Housing-Related Expenses

Based on research undertaken by KMA, the variable housing related expense assumptions used in this analysis are presented in the following table:

| Variable Housing Related Expenses <br> Ownership Housing Development |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of <br> Bedrooms | Monthly Utilities <br> Allowances |  |
|  |  | Monthly HOA, <br>  <br> Maintenance |
|  | $\$ 249$ | $\$ 350$ |
| 3 | $\$ 317$ | $\$ 400$ |
| 4 | $\$ 392$ | $\$ 450$ |

[^2]The property tax expense estimates are based on $1.15 \%$ of the defined Affordable Sales Prices. This assumes that the City will require the homes to be resold at an Affordable Sales Price throughout one cumulative 45-year covenant period.

## Supportable Mortgage Amount

The mortgage amounts used in the Affordable Sales Price calculations are estimated using the income available after the other housing-related expenses are paid. The mortgage terms used in this Financial Evaluation were based on a 30-year fully amortizing loan at a $7.33 \%$ interest rate. ${ }^{4}$

## Benchmark Down Payment

KMA set the benchmark down payment at 5\% of the estimated Affordable Sales Price. A down payment of this magnitude is commonly allowed by affordable housing programs.

## AFFORDABLE SALES PRICES

The resulting Affordable Sales Prices are estimated as follows:

| Affordable Sales Prices |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ownership Housing Development |  |
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## AFFORDABLE RENT CALCULATIONS <br> 2023 INCOME STANDARDS <br> APARTMENT DEVELOPMENT <br> INCLUSIONARY HOUSING: IN-LIEU FEE ANALYSIS <br> COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA

|  |  | Studio Units |  | One-Bedroom Units | Two-Bedroom Units | Three- <br> Bedroom Units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. | General Assumptions |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Area Median Income (AMI) | 1 | \$89,450 | \$102,250 | \$115,000 | \$127,800 |
|  | Monthly Utilities Allowance | 2 | \$95 | \$111 | \$148 | \$183 |

II. Affordable Rent Calculations
A. Low Income - Rent Based on 80\% AMI

Benchmark Annual Household Income
Percentage of Income Allotted to Housing Expenses
Annual Income Available for Housing Expenses
Monthly Income Available for Housing Expenses
(Less) Monthly Utilities Allowance

## Maximum Allowable Rent

B. Very Low Income - Rent Based on 50\% AMI

Benchmark Annual Household Income
Percentage of Income Allotted to Housing Expenses
Annual Income Available for Housing Expenses
Monthly Income Available for Housing Expenses
(Less) Monthly Utilities Allowance
Maximum Allowable Rent

| \$71,560 | \$81,800 | \$92,000 | \$102,240 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30\% | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% |
| \$21,468 | \$24,540 | \$27,600 | \$30,672 |
| \$1,789 | \$2,045 | \$2,300 | \$2,556 |
| (95) | (111) | (148) | (183) |
| \$1,694 | \$1,934 | \$2,152 | \$2,373 |
| 4 |  |  |  |
| \$44,725 | \$51,125 | \$57,500 | \$63,900 |
| 30\% | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% |
| \$13,418 | \$15,338 | \$17,250 | \$19,170 |
| \$1,118 | \$1,278 | \$1,438 | \$1,598 |
| (95) | (111) | (148) | (183) |
| \$1,023 | \$1,167 | \$1,290 | \$1,415 |

[^5]
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SUPPORTABLE IN-LIEU FEE
APARTMENT DEVELOPMENT
INCLUSIONARY HOUSING: IN-LIEU FEE ANALYSIS
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|  |  |  | 60+ Units Per Acre |  | <60 Units Per Acre |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Low Income | Very Low Income | Low Income | Very Low Income |
| I. Rent Difference |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Studio Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Market Rents | 1 | \$2,410 | \$2,410 | \$2,410 | \$2,410 |
|  | Affordable Rents | 2 | 1,694 | 1,023 | 1,694 | 1,023 |
|  | Difference |  | \$716 | \$1,387 | \$716 | \$1,387 |
| B. One-Bedroom Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Market Rents | 1 | \$2,970 | \$2,970 | \$2,970 | \$2,970 |
|  | Affordable Rents | 2 | 1,934 | 1,167 | 1,934 | 1,167 |
|  | Difference |  | \$1,036 | \$1,803 | \$1,036 | \$1,803 |
| C. Two-Bedroom Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Market Rents | 1 | \$3,660 | \$3,660 | \$3,660 | \$3,660 |
|  | Affordable Rents | 2 | 2,152 | 1,290 | 2,152 | 1,290 |
|  | Difference |  | \$1,508 | \$2,371 | \$1,508 | \$2,371 |
| D. Three-Bedroom Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Market Rents | 1 | \$4,400 | \$4,400 | \$4,400 | \$4,400 |
|  | Affordable Rents | 2 | 2,373 | 1,415 | 2,373 | 1,415 |
|  | Difference |  | \$2,027 | \$2,986 | \$2,027 | \$2,986 |
| II. | Distribution of Total Units | 3 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Studio Units |  | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% |
|  | One-Bedroom Units |  | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% |
|  | Two-Bedroom Units |  | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% |
|  | Three-Bedroom Units |  | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% |
| III. | Annual Rent Difference/Inclusionary Unit |  | \$15,100 | \$24,819 | \$15,056 | \$24,766 |
|  | Less: Property Tax Difference | 4 | $(3,656)$ | $(6,009)$ | $(3,645)$ | $(5,996)$ |
|  | Net Annual Rent Difference/Inclusionary Unit |  | \$11,444 | \$18,810 | \$11,411 | \$18,770 |
| IV. Assumptions |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Units |  | 300 | 300 | 172 | 172 |
|  | Total Leasable Area |  | 279,975 | 279,975 | 160,115 | 160,115 |
|  | Weighted Average Unit Size (Sf) |  | 933 | 933 | 931 | 931 |
|  | Inclusionary Housing Percentage |  | 11\% | 7\% | 6\% | 4\% |
|  | Inclusionary Units |  | 33 | 21 | 10 | 7 |
|  | Affordability Gap Per Inclusionary Unit | 5 | \$221,700 | \$364,300 | \$221,000 | \$363,500 |
| v. In-Lieu Fee |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total In-Lieu Fee | 6 | \$7,316,100 | \$7,650,300 | \$2,210,000 | \$2,544,500 |
|  | Per Total Unit in the Project |  | \$24,390 | \$25,500 | \$12,850 | \$14,790 |
|  | Per Sf of Total Leasable Area |  | \$26.10 | \$27.30 | \$13.80 | \$15.90 |

[^6]
## ATTACHMENT 3

## OWNERSHIP HOUSING DEVELOPMENT INCLUSIONARY HOUSING: FINANCIAL EVALUATION COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA

## ATTACHMENT 3: APPENDIX A

HOME SALES SURVEY
OWNERSHIP HOUSING DEVELOPMENT

## INCLUSIONARY HOUSING: FINANCIAL EVALUATION COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA



| Three-Bedroom Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 797 Wonder Ln | Costa Mesa | 92627 | 1,738 | \$965,000 | \$555 | 2016 |
| 2138 Palmilla Ct | Costa Mesa | 92627 | 1,653 | \$995,000 | \$602 | 2015 |
| 585 Victoria St | Costa Mesa | 92627 | 1,711 | \$1,005,000 | \$587 | 2016 |
| 307 Ford Rd | Costa Mesa | 92627 | 1,688 | \$1,100,000 | \$652 | 2020 |
| 1941 Vitae PI | Costa Mesa | 92627 | 1,700 | \$1,135,000 | \$668 | 2017 |
| 693 W 17th St | Costa Mesa | 92627 | 1,904 | \$1,150,000 | \$604 | 2019 |
| 649 W 17th St | Costa Mesa | 92627 | 1904 | \$1,169,000 | \$614 | 2018 |
| 1000 Katama Bay Dr | Costa Mesa | 92627 | 1,820 | \$1,185,000 | \$651 | 2017 |
| 3063 Paragon | Costa Mesa | 92626 | 1,847 | \$1,200,000 | \$650 | 2015 |
| 2998 Lumiere | Costa Mesa | 92626 | 2061 | \$1,225,000 | \$594 | 2019 |
| 617 W 17th St | Costa Mesa | 92627 | 2,101 | \$1,275,000 | \$607 | 2015 |
| 1827 Coastal Way | Costa Mesa | 92627 | 1,611 | \$1,300,000 | \$807 | 2014 |
| 634 Port Dr | Costa Mesa | 92627 | 2,267 | \$1,360,000 | \$600 | 2015 |
| 1686 Grand Vw | Costa Mesa | 92627 | 2,057 | \$1,375,000 | \$668 | 2017 |
| 1809 Ocean Ct | Costa Mesa | 92627 | 1,873 | \$1,375,000 | \$734 | 2014 |
| 636 Channel Way | Costa Mesa | 92627 | 2,267 | \$1,398,000 | \$617 | 2016 |
| 690 Malibu | Costa Mesa | 92627 | 2,142 | \$1,448,000 | \$676 | 2019 |
| 1679 Topanga | Costa Mesa | 92627 | 2,057 | \$1,500,000 | \$729 | 2019 |
| 1676 Grand Vw | Costa Mesa | 92627 | 2,057 | \$1,535,000 | \$746 | 2018 |
| 1676 Pomona Ave | Costa Mesa | 92627 | 2,057 | \$1,565,000 | \$761 | 2019 |
| 301 Shadow Bay Dr | Costa Mesa | 92627 | 1913 | \$1,620,000 | \$847 | 2015 |
| 607 Trestles | Costa Mesa | 92627 | 2,366 | \$1,740,000 | \$735 | 2018 |
| 628 Cardiff Reef | Costa Mesa | 92627 | 2,380 | \$1,775,000 | \$746 | 2019 |
| Minimum |  |  | 1,611 | \$965,000 | \$555 | 2014 |
| Maximum |  |  | 2,380 | \$1,775,000 | \$847 | 2020 |
| Average |  |  | 1,964 | \$1,321,500 | \$673 | 2017 |

## ATTACHMENT 3: APPENDIX A

RESALE HOME SALES SURVEY
1
OWNERSHIP HOUSING DEVELOPMENT
INCLUSIONARY HOUSING: FINANCIAL EVALUATION
COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ress |  | Unit Size (SF) | Total | Per SF | Year Built |
|  |  | Four-Bedr | Units |  |  |  |
| 2120 Palmilla Ct | Costa Mesa | 92627 | 1,763 | \$1,049,000 | \$595 | 2015 |
| 2108 Harper Way | Costa Mesa | 92627 | 2,019 | \$1,115,000 | \$552 | 2016 |
| 2633 Clarion Ln | Costa Mesa | 92626 | 2,230 | \$1,320,000 | \$592 | 2017 |
| 2988 Lumiere Dr | Costa Mesa | 92626 | 2,227 | \$1,335,000 | \$599 | 2018 |
| 1648 Topanga | Costa Mesa | 92627 | 2,142 | \$1,375,000 | \$642 | 2019 |
| 947 Tesla Ln | Costa Mesa | 92626 | 2,453 | \$1,400,000 | \$571 | 2019 |
| 1669 Grand | Costa Mesa | 92627 | 2,142 | \$1,435,000 | \$670 | 2018 |
| 174 Costa Mesa | Costa Mesa | 92627 | 1,942 | \$1,515,000 | \$780 | 2019 |
| 137 Woodflower Ln | Costa Mesa | 92627 | 2,361 | \$1,515,000 | \$642 | 2018 |
| 1034 Bridgewater Way | Costa Mesa | 92627 | 1,869 | \$1,625,000 | \$869 | 2018 |
| 393 Latitude PI E | Costa Mesa | 92627 | 2,148 | \$1,775,000 | \$826 | 2016 |
| 1923 Church St | Costa Mesa | 92627 | 2,432 | \$1,860,000 | \$765 | 2022 |
| 309 Anderson Ln | Costa Mesa | 92627 | 2,344 | \$2,000,000 | \$853 | 2014 |
| Minimum |  |  | 1,763 | \$1,049,000 | \$552 | 2014 |
| Maximum |  |  | 2,453 | \$2,000,000 | \$869 | 2022 |
| Average |  |  | 2,159 | \$1,486,100 | \$688 | 2018 |

Source: Redfin; June 2023
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## ATTACHMENT 3: APPENDIX C

## OWNERSHIP HOUSING DEVELOPMENT INCLUSIONARY HOUSING: IN-LIEU FEE ANALYSIS COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA

I. Sales Price Difference
A. Two-Bedroom Units

Market Rate Sales Pric
Affordable Sales Price
Difference

| 1 | $\$ 890,000$ <br> 2 |
| ---: | ---: |

B. Three-Bedroom Units

Market Rate Sales Price
Affordable Sales Price

## Difference

$\begin{array}{lr}1 & \$ 1,083,000 \\ 2 & 451,700\end{array}$
451,700
\$631,300

## C. Four-Bedroom Units <br> Market Rate Sales Price <br> Affordable Sales Price

\$1,211,000

Difference
478,700
\$732,300
II. Distribution of Total Units

Two-Bedroom Units
25\%
Three-Bedroom Units 60\%
Four-Bedroom Units 15\%
III. Assumptions

| Total Units | 85 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total Saleable Area | 137,750 |
| Weighted Average Unit Size (Sf) | 1,620 |
| Inclusionary Housing Percentage | $5 \%$ |
| Inclusionary Units |  |
| Affordability Gap Per Inclusionary Unit | 4 |

IV.

| In-Lieu Fee |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total In-Lieu Fee | $\$ 2,432,000$ |
| Per Total Unit in the Project | $\$ 28,610$ |
| Per Sf of Saleable Area | $\$ 17.70$ |

[^9]KEYSER MARSTON ASSOCIATES

## MEMORANDUM

ADVISORS IN: Real Estate Affordable Housing Economic Development

BERKELEY Debbie M. Kern David Doezema

LoS Angeles Kathleen H. Head Kevin E. Engstrom Julie L. Romey Tim R. Bretz

SAN DIEGO Paul C. Marra Linnie A. Gavino

Emeritus A. Jerry Keyser Timothy C. Kelly

To:
Nancy Huynh, Principal Planner
City of Costa Mesa
From: Kathleen Head

Date: January 31, 2024

Subject: Inclusionary Housing: Supplemental In-Lieu Fee Analysis

Keyser Marston Associates, Inc. (KMA) prepared an Inclusionary Housing: In-Lieu Fee Analysis (In-Lieu Fee Analysis) in a report dated January 31, 2024. The In-Lieu Fee Analysis was based on the Inclusionary Housing requirements included in Ordinance 2024-XX (Ordinance), which received its first reading on January 16, 2024.

The proposed Ordinance imposes the following Inclusionary Housing requirements on apartment developments that include 15 or more units:

1. Projects developed at a density level of 60 units per acre or greater must allocate $11 \%$ of the units to low income households, or $7 \%$ of the units to very low income households.
2. Projects developed at a density level of less than 60 units per acre must allocate $6 \%$ of the units to low income households, or $4 \%$ of the units to very low income households.

At their January 16, 2024 meeting the City Council discussed reducing the Inclusionary Housing requirements, for apartments developed at 60 units per acre or greater, to a $10 \%$ low income standard or a $5 \%$ very low income standard. The requirements for apartments developed at less than 60 units per acre were not proposed to be modified.

KMA prepared the following Inclusionary Housing: Supplemental In-Lieu Fee Analysis (Supplemental Analysis) to identify the applicable in-lieu fee payment amounts based on these alternate Inclusionary Housing requirements. The fundamental assumptions and methodology applied in this Supplemental Analysis are the same as were applied in the In-Lieu Fee Analysis.

## KEY FINDINGS

If the City Council chooses to adopt the reduced Inclusionary Housing requirements for apartment developments at a density level of 60 units per acre or greater, based on the results of the Supplemental Analysis, KMA offers the following recommendations:

1. The base in-lieu fee payment amount should be set at $\$ 19.50$ per square foot of total leasable area in an apartment development that is subject to the Inclusionary Housing program requirements.
2. KMA prepared a discounted in-lieu fee schedule for apartment developments that consist of between 15 and 20 units. The recommended in-lieu fee schedule is presented below:

| In-Lieu Fee Payment Schedule <br> Per Square Foot of Total Leasable Area <br> in an Apartment Development |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| Units | In-Lieu Fee |
| 15 | $\$ 2.79$ |
| 16 | $\$ 5.57$ |
| 17 | $\$ 8.36$ |
| 18 | $\$ 11.14$ |
| 19 | $\$ 13.93$ |
| 20 | $\$ 16.71$ |
| $21+$ | $\$ 19.50$ |

## APPROACH

The Supplemental Analysis is based on an evaluation of the prototype developments that were used in the Inclusionary Housing: Financial Evaluation report. The foundational premise is that the in-lieu fee will be based on the "Affordability Gap" associated with producing the affordable units required by the Inclusionary Housing Program.

## SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

The Supplemental Analysis is supported by the following Attachments and Appendices:

## Attachment 1: Affordable Housing Cost Calculation Methodology

## Attachment 2: Apartment Development

| Appendix A: | Rent Survey 4+ Star Properties |
| :--- | :--- |
| Appendix B: | Affordable Rent Calculations |
| Appendix C: | In-Lieu Fee Calculations |

## ASSUMPTIONS

## Apartment Rent Estimates

The apartment rents used in the Supplemental Analysis are presented in the following table:

| Estimated Apartment Rents |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Affordability Gap Calculation Methodology

The Affordability Gap calculations are presented in Attachment 2: Appendix C; the calculations are based on the following assumptions:

1. The differences between the estimated achievable market rate rents and the defined Affordable Rents were calculated.
2. KMA assumed that the property taxes for projects that include designated affordable units would be based on a lower assessed value due to the reduction in net operating income that would be generated by the project. KMA deducted this lower property tax expense from the estimated rent difference.
3. The estimated "Net Annual Rent Difference Per Inclusionary Unit" represents the annual rent difference minus the estimated property tax savings.
4. The "Affordability Gap Per Inclusionary Unit" is estimated by capitalizing the Net Annual Difference Per Inclusionary Unit at the threshold return derived from a pro forma analysis of the prototype apartment development at unrestricted market rate rents.

## Estimated Affordability Gaps

The Affordability Gaps derived from the analysis are estimated as follows:

| Estimated Affordability Gaps Per Inclusionary Unit |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Low Income Units | $\$ 221,700$ |
| Very Low Income Units | $\$ 364,300$ |

It is important to note that estimated Affordability Gaps per Inclusionary Unit do not vary from the amounts identified in the In-Lieu Fee Analysis report. The difference between the In-Lieu Fee Analysis and this Supplemental Analysis is the percentage of Inclusionary Units required to be provided in the apartment development.

## In-Lieu Fee Calculations

## Estimated Base In-Lieu Fee Payment Amounts

The Affordability Gaps Per Inclusionary Unit were converted into the in-lieu fee payment that would be required to fulfill the Inclusionary Housing requirements on site within a proposed market rate apartment development. The conversion calculations are presented in Attachment 2: Appendix C , and the results are summarized in the following table.

| Estimated Base In-Lieu Fee Payment Amounts <br> Per Square Foot of Total Leasable Area <br> in an Apartment Development |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Low Income Units <br> Very Low Income Units |  |

Under the Ordinance terms Developers can choose between the low and very low income Inclusionary Housing requirements. As such, it is appropriate to set the in-lieu fee payment at the lower of the two amounts derived from the Affordability Gap analyses. The resulting recommended in-lieu fee payment amount is $\$ 19.50$ per square foot of total leasable area in an apartment development.

## In-Lieu Fee Payment Schedule

KMA prepared a discounted in-lieu fee schedule for apartment developments that consist of between 15 and 20 units. The resulting recommended in-lieu fee schedule is presented below:

| In-Lieu Fee Payment Schedule <br> Per Square Foot of Total Leasable Area <br> in an Apartment Development |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Units |  |
| 15 | $\$ 2.79$ |
| 16 | $\$ 5.57$ |
| 17 | $\$ 8.36$ |
| 18 | $\$ 11.14$ |
| 19 | $\$ 13.93$ |
| 20 | $\$ 16.71$ |
| $21+$ | $\$ 19.50$ |

## Fractional In-Lieu Fee Payment

Some developers may choose to pay a fee in lieu of fulfilling an obligation to produce a fraction of an Inclusionary Unit. The following table provides a recommended schedule of fractional inlieu fee payments.

| Fractional In-Lieu Fee Payment Calculations <br> Per Square Foot of the Leasable Area of One Unit in an Apartment Development |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Affordability | Inclusionary Unit | \$221,700 |
| Average Unit | quare Feet of Leasable Area) | 933 |
| In-Lieu Fee Pe | e Foot of One Unit | \$237.60 |
| Fraction | Fractional In-Lieu Fee: <br> Per Square Foot of One Unit | Total Fractional In-Lieu Fee: Prototype Apartment Development |
| 0.10 | \$23.80 | \$22,210 |
| 0.20 | \$47.50 | \$44,330 |
| 0.30 | \$71.30 | \$66,540 |
| 0.40 | \$95.00 | \$88,660 |
| 0.50 | \$118.80 | \$110,870 |
| 0.60 | \$142.60 | \$133,080 |
| 0.70 | \$166.30 | \$155,200 |
| 0.80 | \$190.10 | \$177,410 |
| 0.90 | \$213.80 | \$199,530 |
| 1.00 | \$237.60 | \$221,740 |

## ATTACHMENT 1 <br> AFFORDABLE RENT CALCULATION METHODOLOGY INCLUSIONARY HOUSING: SUPPLEMENTAL IN-LIEU FEE ANALYSIS COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA

## ATTACHMENT 1 <br> AFFORDABLE RENT CALCULATION METHODOLOGY

## ASSUMPTIONS

The Affordable Rent calculations are presented in Attachment 2 - Appendix B. The calculations are based on the following assumptions:

1. The household income information used in the calculations is based on 2023 income statistics for Orange County as a whole. This information is published annually by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) and distributed by the California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD).
2. The household size appropriate for the unit is based on the California Health and Safety Code (H\&SC) Section 50052.5 standard of the number of bedrooms in the home plus one. ${ }^{1}$ H\&SC Section 50052.5 refers to this as "the family size appropriate for the unit." This is a benchmark that is used for calculation purposes only. It is neither an occupancy minimum nor a maximum.
3. The benchmark household incomes used in the Affordable Rent analyses are based on the following standards:
a. The low income rents are based on $80 \%$ of area median income (AMI). This percentage of AMI is based on the standard imposed in Assembly Bill 1505.
b. The very low income rents are based on $50 \%$ of AMI, which is the standard imposed by H\&SC Section 50053.
c. The affordable rents used in the California Government Code Section 65915 et seq. density bonus analyses are based on the household income standards imposed by H\&SC Section 50053.
4. Thirty percent (30\%) of defined household income is allocated to housing-related expenses.
5. The following monthly utilities allowances were applied in this analysis. ${ }^{2}$
[^10]| Utility Allowances <br> Apartment Development |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Number of <br> Bedrooms | Monthly Utilities <br> Allowances |
| Studio | $\$ 95$ |
| 1 | $\$ 111$ |
| 2 | $\$ 148$ |
| 3 | $\$ 183$ |

## AFFORDABLE RENTS

The resulting affordable rents are presented in the following table:

| Affordable Rents <br> Apartment Development |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of <br> Bedrooms |  |  | Very Low <br> Income |
| Studio | $\$ 1,694$ |  | $\$ 1,023$ |
| 1 | $\$ 1,934$ | $\$ 1,167$ |  |
| 2 | $\$ 2,152$ | $\$ 1,290$ |  |
| 3 | $\$ 2,373$ | $\$ 1,415$ |  |
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## AFFORDABLE RENT CALCULATIONS <br> 2023 INCOME STANDARDS <br> APARTMENT DEVELOPMENT <br> INCLUSIONARY HOUSING: IN-LIEU FEE ANALYSIS <br> COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA

|  |  | Studio Units |  | One-Bedroom Units | Two-Bedroom Units | Three- <br> Bedroom Units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. | General Assumptions |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Area Median Income (AMI) | 1 | \$89,450 | \$102,250 | \$115,000 | \$127,800 |
|  | Monthly Utilities Allowance | 2 | \$95 | \$111 | \$148 | \$183 |

II. Affordable Rent Calculations
A. Low Income - Rent Based on 80\% AMI

Benchmark Annual Household Income
Percentage of Income Allotted to Housing Expenses
Annual Income Available for Housing Expenses
Monthly Income Available for Housing Expenses
(Less) Monthly Utilities Allowance

## Maximum Allowable Rent

B. Very Low Income - Rent Based on 50\% AMI

Benchmark Annual Household Income
Percentage of Income Allotted to Housing Expenses
Annual Income Available for Housing Expenses
Monthly Income Available for Housing Expenses
(Less) Monthly Utilities Allowance
Maximum Allowable Rent

| \$71,560 | \$81,800 | \$92,000 | \$102,240 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30\% | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% |
| \$21,468 | \$24,540 | \$27,600 | \$30,672 |
| \$1,789 | \$2,045 | \$2,300 | \$2,556 |
| (95) | (111) | (148) | (183) |
| \$1,694 | \$1,934 | \$2,152 | \$2,373 |
| 4 |  |  |  |
| \$44,725 | \$51,125 | \$57,500 | \$63,900 |
| 30\% | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% |
| \$13,418 | \$15,338 | \$17,250 | \$19,170 |
| \$1,118 | \$1,278 | \$1,438 | \$1,598 |
| (95) | (111) | (148) | (183) |
| \$1,023 | \$1,167 | \$1,290 | \$1,415 |

[^12]
## ATTACHMENT 2: APPENDIX C

IN-LIEU FEE ANALYSES APARTMENT DEVELOPMENT INCLUSIONARY HOUSING: IN-LIEU FEE ANALYSIS COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA

SUPPORTABLE IN-LIEU FEE
APARTMENT DEVELOPMENT
INCLUSIONARY HOUSING: IN-LIEU FEE ANALYSIS
COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA

|  |  |  | 60+ Units Per Acre |  | <60 Units Per Acre |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Low Income | Very Low Income | Low Income | Very Low Income |
| I. Rent Difference |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Studio Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Market Rents | 1 | \$2,410 | \$2,410 | \$2,410 | \$2,410 |
|  | Affordable Rents | 2 | 1,694 | 1,023 | 1,694 | 1,023 |
|  | Difference |  | \$716 | \$1,387 | \$716 | \$1,387 |
| B. One-Bedroom Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Market Rents | 1 | \$2,970 | \$2,970 | \$2,970 | \$2,970 |
|  | Affordable Rents | 2 | 1,934 | 1,167 | 1,934 | 1,167 |
|  | Difference |  | \$1,036 | \$1,803 | \$1,036 | \$1,803 |
| C. Two-Bedroom Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Market Rents | 1 | \$3,660 | \$3,660 | \$3,660 | \$3,660 |
|  | Affordable Rents | 2 | 2,152 | 1,290 | 2,152 | 1,290 |
|  | Difference |  | \$1,508 | \$2,371 | \$1,508 | \$2,371 |
| D. Three-Bedroom Units |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Market Rents | 1 | \$4,400 | \$4,400 | \$4,400 | \$4,400 |
|  | Affordable Rents | 2 | 2,373 | 1,415 | 2,373 | 1,415 |
|  | Difference |  | \$2,027 | \$2,986 | \$2,027 | \$2,986 |
| II. | Distribution of Total Units | 3 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Studio Units |  | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% |
|  | One-Bedroom Units |  | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% |
|  | Two-Bedroom Units |  | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% |
|  | Three-Bedroom Units |  | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% |
| III. | Annual Rent Difference/Inclusionary Unit |  | \$15,100 | \$24,819 | \$15,056 | \$24,766 |
|  | Less: Property Tax Difference | 4 | $(3,656)$ | $(6,009)$ | $(3,645)$ | $(5,996)$ |
|  | Net Annual Rent Difference/Inclusionary Unit |  | \$11,444 | \$18,810 | \$11,411 | \$18,770 |
| IV. Assumptions |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Units |  | 300 | 300 | 172 | 172 |
|  | Total Leasable Area |  | 279,975 | 279,975 | 160,115 | 160,115 |
|  | Weighted Average Unit Size (Sf) |  | 933 | 933 | 931 | 931 |
|  | Inclusionary Housing Percentage |  | 10\% | 5\% | 6\% | 4\% |
|  | Inclusionary Units |  | 30 | 15 | 10 | 7 |
|  | Affordability Gap Per Inclusionary Unit | 5 | \$221,700 | \$364,300 | \$221,000 | \$363,500 |
| v. In-Lieu Fee |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total In-Lieu Fee | 6 | \$6,651,000 | \$5,464,500 | \$2,210,000 | \$2,544,500 |
|  | Per Total Unit in the Project |  | \$22,170 | \$18,220 | \$12,850 | \$14,790 |
|  | Per Sf of Total Leasable Area |  | \$23.80 | \$19.50 | \$13.80 | \$15.90 |

The market rents are drawn from the Financial Evaluation.
2 Based on the Affordable Rent Calculations included in the Financial Evaluation.
3 Based on the unit mix distribution applied in the pro forma analyses included in the Financial Evaluation.
$4 \quad$ Based on the Annual Rent Difference/Inclusionary Unit capitalized at a $4.75 \%$ rate to establish the value, and a $1.15 \%$ property tax rate. 5 Based on the Net Annual Rent Difference/Inclusionary Unit capitalized at the Threshold Return on Total Investment generated by the p $6 \quad$ Based on the Affordability Gap Per Inclusionary Unit multiplied times the number of Inclusionary Units.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The mortgage interest rates are based on a 50 basis points premium applied to the Freddie Mac monthly average, between February 2023 and January 2024, for a fixed interest rate loan with a 30 year amortization period.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ For example, the imputed household size for a two-bedroom unit is three persons.
    ${ }^{2}$ Utilities allowances are based on utilities costs comprised of electric heating, cooking and water heating; and basic electric. The allowances are based on the Orange County Housing Authority schedule effective as of October 1, 2023.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ Utilities allowances are based on utilities costs comprised of electric heating, cooking and water heating; basic electric; and water, sewer and trash services. The allowances are based on the Orange County Housing Authority schedule effective as of October 1, 2023.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ Based on a 50 basis points premium applied to the Freddie Mac monthly average, between February 2023 and January 2024, for a fixed-interest rate loan with a 30-year amortization period.

[^4]:    Source: CoStar; April 2023

[^5]:    $1 \quad$ Based on the 2023 Orange County household incomes published by the California Department of Housing \& Community Development (HCD). The benchmark household size is set at the number of bedrooms in the unit plus one.
    2 Based on the Orange County Housing Authority utilities allowance schedule effective as of October 1, 2023. Assumes: Electric Cooking, Electric Heating, and Electric Water Heater; and Basic Electric.
    3 Based on $80 \%$ of AMI. This percentage of AMI is based on the standard identified in AB 1505.
    4 Based on $50 \%$ of AMI. This percentage of AMI is based on the standard identified in California Health \& Safety Code Section 50053.

[^6]:    $1 \quad$ The market rents are drawn from the Financial Evaluation.
    2 Based on the Affordable Rent Calculations included in the Financial Evaluation.
    3 Based on the unit mix distribution applied in the pro forma analyses included in the Financial Evaluation.
    4 Based on the Annual Rent Difference/Inclusionary Unit capitalized at a $4.75 \%$ rate to establish the value, and a $1.15 \%$ property tax rate.
    5 Based on the Net Annual Rent Difference/Inclusionary Unit capitalized at the Threshold Return on Total Investment generated by the p)
    $6 \quad$ Based on the Affordability Gap Per Inclusionary Unit multiplied times the number of Inclusionary Units.

[^7]:    $1 \quad$ Based on a search of the Redfin data base for sales occurring between May 2022 and June 2023. The survey is limited to homes constructed within the past 10 years with a sales price of $\$ 2$ million or less.

[^8]:    $1 \quad$ Based on 2023 Orange County household incomes published by the California Department of Housing \& Community Development (HCD). The Affordable Sales Price calculations are based on the California Health and Safety Code Section 50052.5 methodology. Under the California Health \& Safety Code Section 50052.5 calculation methodology, the benchmark household size is set at the number of bedrooms in the unit plus one. This benchmark is used solely for the purposes of calculating the Affordable Sales Price. It is neither an occupancy cap nor a floor.
    3 Utilities allowances are based on the Orange County Housing Authority utilities allowance schedule effective as of October 1, 2023 Assumes: Electric Heating, Electric Cooking, Electric Water Heater, Basic Electric, Water, Sewer, and Trash.
    4 Based in part on the HOA dues identified in the home sales survey.
    5 Based on the Income Allotted to Housing minus the following: Annual Utilities Allowance; HOA, Maintenance \& Insurance; and Property Taxes @ 1.15\% of Affordable Sales Price.
    6 Based on a 50 basis points premium applied to the Freddie Mac monthly average, between February 2023 and January 2024, for a fixedinterest rate loan with a 30 -year amortization period.

[^9]:    $1 \quad$ Based in part on a survey of homes in Costa Mesa that were constructed after 2014 and resold between May 2022 and June 2023. See ATTACHMENT 3: APPENDIX A.
    See ATTACHMENT 3: APPENDIX B
    Based on the unit mix distribution applied in the pro forma analyses.
    Based on the Affordability Gap Per Inclusionary Unit multiplied times the Supportable Inclusionary Housing Percentage.

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ For example, the imputed household size for a two-bedroom unit is three persons.
    ${ }^{2}$ Utilities allowances are based on utilities costs comprised of electric heating, cooking and water heating; and basic electric. The allowances are based on the Orange County Housing Authority schedule effective as of October 1, 2023.

[^11]:    Source: CoStar; April 2023

[^12]:    $1 \quad$ Based on the 2023 Orange County household incomes published by the California Department of Housing \& Community Development (HCD). The benchmark household size is set at the number of bedrooms in the unit plus one.
    2 Based on the Orange County Housing Authority utilities allowance schedule effective as of October 1, 2023. Assumes: Electric Cooking, Electric Heating, and Electric Water Heater; and Basic Electric.
    3 Based on $80 \%$ of AMI. This percentage of AMI is based on the standard identified in AB 1505.
    4 Based on $50 \%$ of AMI. This percentage of AMI is based on the standard identified in California Health \& Safety Code Section 50053.

