



PARK ASSESSMENT

2025

Prepared by
DVD Creative




PARK ASSESSMENT INTRODUCTION

Overview of Park Assessment Masterplan

Park Assessments were conducted at all public parks within the City of Costa Mesa and have been documented herein. The report identifies physical condition of amenities, structures, pathways, playgrounds, and existing program elements in each park. This park assessment is essential for the City of Costa Mesa in identifying and prioritizing improvements, allowing for safe, functional and enjoyable spaces for the community to recreate and enjoy.

This Park Assessment is informed by extensive site analysis which documents, quantifies, and considers existing site conditions to provide a thorough account of each park. Only limited accessibility deficiencies are identified where paths are not provided to existing improvements. The primary focus of this assessment is to identify and provide an unbiased report highlighting essential improvements. This assessment does not identify under-programmed parks.



- | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------|------------------|------------------|
| ① Balearic Park | ⑩ Jordan Park | ⑲ Perez Park | ⑳ Wakeham Park |
| ② Brentwood Park | ⑪ Ketchum-Libolt Park | ㉑ Pinkley Park | ㉒ Wilson Park |
| ③ Canyon Park | ⑫ Lindbergh Park | ㉓ Shalimar Park | ㉔ Wimbledon Park |
| ④ Del Mesa Park | ⑬ Lions Park | ㉕ Shiffer Park | ㉖ Bark Park |
| ⑤ Estancia Park | ⑭ Marina View Park | ㉗ Smallwood Park | ㉘ Raleigh Park |
| ⑥ Fairview Park | ⑮ Mesa Verde Park | ㉙ Suburbia Park | |
| ⑦ Gisler Park | ⑯ Moon Park | ㉚ Tanager Park | |
| ⑧ Harper Park | ⑰ Neth Park | ㉛ Tewinkle Park | |
| ⑨ Heller Park | ⑱ Paularino Park | ㉜ Vista Park | |

GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

Goal 1: Prioritize parks with the greatest need for improvement

- Visit each park site and compile photographic documentation of park conditions
- Evaluate park conditions
- Assign priority ranking for each park to guide improvements

Goal 2: Identify and assess existing playgrounds

- Assess playground conditions for safety, accessibility, and usability
- Identify outdated or damaged equipment needing repair or replacement
- Provide recommendations for upgrades, renovations, or new installations

Goal 3: Identify facilities/buildings where improvements are needed

- Assess the condition of all park facilities and buildings
- Assess the priority of the improvements
- Provide suggestions on how the facilities can be improved

Goal 4: Improve safety and site access

- Identify and address potential safety hazards
- Ensure all walkways and paths have safe and accessible circulation
- Ensure all playgrounds meet or exceed current safety standards
- Identify site furnishing in need of repair and/or replacement

Goal 5: Evaluate long-term maintenance needs

- Identify areas where maintenance needs to be up-kept
- Provide suggestions on how the park can be maintained

Goal 6: Identify sustainable opportunities

- Identify areas where landscape and irrigation need improvement
- Provide suggestions on how certain areas in the park can be used for sustainable practices

PARK CRITERIA

Overview of Park Criteria

The evaluation of key aspects includes safety, quality, and usability. Each park has been reviewed for seven categories: Playgrounds, Structures, Fields & Courts, Amenities, Hardscape, Landscape/Irrigation and Maintenance. Each category will be scored on a 1 to 5 scale and weighted to aid prioritization. Together, these categories provide a comprehensive snapshot of how well a park serves its community and where improvements are needed.

Category	Score	Description
Playgrounds	1 - 5 (45% of score)	Variety, condition, safety, inclusivity, surfacing, age
Structures	1 - 5 (20% of score)	Restrooms, gazebos, shade structures, walls, bridges
Fields/Courts	1 - 5 (10% of score)	Quality and usability of sports fields, courts, surfacing
Amenities	1 - 5 (10% of score)	Barbecues, benches, lighting, drinking fountains, waste receptacles, monument signs, fitness equipments
Hardscape	1 - 5 (5% of score)	Condition of walkways, accessibility to maintain features
Landscape/Irrigation	1 - 5 (5% of score)	Health and variety of plants, shade, and visible irrigation equipment
Maintenance	1 - 5 (5% of score)	Overall cleanliness, repairs, graffiti control

SCORING SYSTEM

Overview of Scoring System

The park scoring system uses a 1 to 5 scale for each category to evaluate different aspects of a park's quality, function, and condition. It ensures a comprehensive, consistent, and objective approach to assessing and comparing parks.



Very Poor

Major issues, broken, unsafe, unusable, or severely neglected



Poor

Functional, limited use, or in noticeable disrepair



Fair

Usable and safe, but could be improved; some wear and tear



Good

In good condition, functional, accessible, and well-integrated



Excellent

High-quality, clean, inclusive, fully functional

PRIORITY RANKING SYSTEM

Overview of Priority Ranking System

The priority ranking system is used to determine which parks are in immediate need for improvements, repairs, or investment. Each park is assigned a priority level from A to E.

This ranking is based on the total scores from the park criteria categories. Parks that score lower across multiple categories are given higher priority ranking (e.g. D or E), signaling a greater need for improvements. This system will guide the City of Costa Mesa to objectively compare parks and allocate resources more effectively.




**Very Low Priority /
minimal or no
intervention
needed**



Low Priority



Medium Priority



High Priority



**Highest Priority
for improvement /
funding / repair**

Overall Park Priority Ranking

Ranking	Park Name	Ranking	Park Name
B	Balearic Park	B	Paularino Park
C	Brentwood Park	A	Perez Park
B	Canyon Park	B	Pinkley Park
B	Del Mesa Park	D	Shalimar Park
C	Estancia Park	C	Shiffer Park
C	Fairview Park	C	Smallwood Park
C	Gisler Park	B	Suburbia Park
C	Harper Park	A	Tanager Park
C	Heller Park	B	Tewinkle Park
B	Jordan Park	C	Vista Park
C	Ketchum-Libolt Park	B	Wakeham Park
C	Lindbergh Park	C	Wilson Park
B	Lions Park	B	Wimbledon Park
C	Marina View Park	C	Bark Park
B	Mesa Verde Park	A	Raleigh Park
C	Moon Park		
B	Neth Park		

Park Assessment - Estimated Costs

Park	Project Title	Priority	Fiscal Year	Total Costs
Harper Park	Playground Replacement	1	26-27	\$ 400,000
Moon Park	Playground Replacement	2	26-27	\$ 700,000
Lindbergh Park	Playground Replacement	3	28-29	\$ 692,350
Heller Park	Playground & Restroom Improvement	4	28-29	\$ 1,535,400
Marina View Park	Playground Replacement	5	30-31	\$ 871,600
Wakeham Park	Playground & Restroom Improvement	6	Future	\$ 2,171,800
Canyon Park	Playground Replacement	7	Future	\$ 1,311,300
Mesa Verde Park	Playground Replacement	8	Future	\$ 580,200
Vista Park	Playground & Restroom Improvement	9	Future	\$ 1,353,420
Smallwood Park	Playground Replacement	10	Future	\$ 1,024,000
Gisler Park	Playground Replacement	11	Future	\$ 783,500
Wilson Park	Playground Replacement	12	Future	\$ 961,000
Del Mesa Park	Playground Replacement	13	Future	\$ 778,220
Wimbledon Park	Playground Replacement	14	Future	\$ 578,000
Shiffer Park	Playground & Restroom Improvement	15	Future	\$ 1,272,160
Balearic Community Center	Playground Replacement	16	Future	\$ 888,000
Balearic Park	Playground Replacement	17	Future	\$ 1,095,200
Estancia Park	Hardscape & Seat Walls	18	Future	\$ 230,500
Fairview Park	Restroom Renovations & Hardscape Repairs	19	Future	\$ 547,900
TeWinkle Park	Restroom Building	20	Future	\$ 389,000
				\$ 18,163,550