

RESOLUTION NO. PC-2025-**A RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA APPROVING DESIGN REVIEW (PDES-25-0002) AND TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP 2025-141 TO CREATE TWO PARCELS, EACH CONTAINING A NEW TWO-STORY DETACHED, SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENCE WITH AN ATTACHED TWO-CAR GARAGE IN THE R2-MD ZONE AT 2396 ORANGE AVENUE**

THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA HEREBY FINDS AND DECLARES AS FOLLOWS:

WHEREAS, Planning Application PDES-25-0002 and Tentative Parcel Map 2025-141 was filed by Rod Jeheber, authorized agent for the property owner, Hal and Nancy Moorefield Family Trust, requesting approval of the following: Tentative Parcel Map and Design Review for a residential small lot subdivision project consisting of two, two-story, detached single family dwelling units with attached two-car garages;

WHEREAS, a duly noticed public hearing held by the Planning Commission on December 8, 2025, with all persons having the opportunity to speak for and against the proposal;

WHEREAS, pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), the project is exempt from the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) per Section 15315 (Class 15) Minor Division of Land, and Section 15303 (Class 3) New Construction or Conversion of Small Structures, and Public Resources Code section 21080.66 (Housing Development Projects), and

WHEREAS, the CEQA categorical exemption for this project reflects the independent judgement of the City of Costa Mesa.

NOW, THEREFORE, based on the evidence in the record and the findings contained in Exhibit A, and subject to the conditions of approval contained within Exhibit B, the Planning Commission hereby **APPROVES** Planning Application PDES-25-0002 and Tentative Parcel Map No. 2025-141 with respect to the property described above.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Costa Mesa Planning Commission does hereby find and determine that adoption of this Resolution is expressly predicated upon the activity as described in the staff report for Planning Application PDES-25-0002 and Tentative Parcel Map No. 2025-141 and upon applicant's compliance with each and all of

the conditions in Exhibit B, and compliance of all applicable federal, state, and local laws. Any approval granted by this resolution shall be subject to review, modification or revocation if there is a material change that occurs in the operation, or if the applicant fails to comply with any of the conditions of approval.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any section, division, sentence, clause, phrase or portion of this resolution, or the document in the record in support of this resolution, are for any reason held to be invalid or unconstitutional by a decision of any court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining provisions.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 8th day of December, 2025.

Jeffrey Harlan, Chair
Costa Mesa Planning Commission

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
COUNTY OF ORANGE)ss
CITY OF COSTA MESA)

I, Carrie Tai, Secretary to the Planning Commission of the City of Costa Mesa, do hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution No. PC-2025- was passed and adopted at a regular meeting of the City of Costa Mesa Planning Commission held on December 8, 2025 by the following votes:

AYES: COMMISSIONERS

NOES: COMMISSIONERS

ABSENT: COMMISSIONERS

ABSTAIN: COMMISSIONERS

Carrie Tai, Secretary
Costa Mesa Planning Commission

EXHIBIT A

FINDINGS

- A. The proposed project complies with Costa Mesa Municipal Code Section 13-29(g)(13) and (14):

Tentative Parcel Map Findings – CMMC Section 13-29(g)(13)

Finding: *The creation of the subdivision and related improvements is consistent with the general plan, any applicable specific plan, and the Zoning Code.*

Facts in Support of Findings: The Medium Density Residential General Plan land use designation establishes a maximum allowable density of 12 units per acre. The subject parcel is 7,580 square feet in area. Although a five-foot sidewalk easement would reduce the square footage of the subject property from 7,580 square feet down to 6,952 square feet, the maximum density of a small lot subdivision is based on the gross acreage of the lot. The parcel map would allow for a new residential project that would be consistent with the General Plan land use designation of Medium Density Residential and the R2-MD zone that allows for one unit per 3,630 square feet of lot area. The project design complies with the applicable development standards for a residential small lot subdivision. The proposed parcel map is consistent with General Plan Land Use Objectives LU-1A and LU-2A, in that the subdivision allows for a project that would promote homeownership opportunities and improve the balance between rental and ownership housing in the City, and the parcel map would allow for redevelopment of an existing parcel which would improve and maintain quality of the neighborhood by improved architecture, aesthetics, and landscaping.

Finding: *The proposed use of the subdivision is compatible with the General Plan.*

Facts in Support of Finding: The subject property has a General Plan land use designation of Medium Density Residential, which allows multiple-family residential uses at a maximum of 12 dwelling units per acre. The existing parcel is 7,580 square feet in area. The Parcel Map proposes a residential use that would not exceed the maximum density allowed per the General Plan. In addition, the subdivision allows for a project that would promote homeownership opportunities and improve the balance between rental and ownership housing in the City, and the parcel map would allow for redevelopment of an existing parcel which would improve and maintain quality of the neighborhood by improved architecture, aesthetics, and landscaping, pursuant to General Plan Land Use Objectives LU-1A and LU-2A.

Finding: *The subject property is physically suitable to accommodate the subdivision in terms of type, design and density of development, and will not result in substantial environmental damage nor public health problems, based on compliance with the*

Zoning Code and General Plan, and consideration of appropriate environmental information.

Facts in Support of Finding: The property is flat and has been previously graded and is located within an established residential neighborhood. The property is currently improved with residential uses and is suitable to accommodate two residential units. The size of the lot is also suitable since the proposed development meets all applicable development standards including, setbacks, parking, and open space. The parcel map proposes to subdivide a parcel into two lots that does not exceed the minimum R2-MD maximum density of one dwelling unit for 3,630 square-feet of lot area. While the 3,630 square-foot lot area is noted for the purposes of calculating density, the City's Residential Small Lot Subdivision standards do not require a minimum lot size. Adequate infrastructure exists to serve the proposed project and the project will not result in the loss of any habitat, result in a negative impact on the environment as a whole or require extensive infrastructure improvements to provide service to the site.

Finding: *The design of the subdivision provides, to the extent feasible, for future passive or natural heating and cooling opportunities in the subdivision, as required by State Government Code section 66473.1.*

Facts in Support of Findings: The project provides 49 percent open space for the overall development and each unit will have at least 200 square feet of private open space area. The open space will accommodate landscaping that can be provided throughout the site with adequate setbacks for airflow, and trees for site shading. The project is proposed to include operable windows and will be fully insulated as required by the building code.

Finding: *The division and development will not unreasonably interfere with the free and complete exercise of the public entity and/or public utility rights-of-way and/or easements within the tract.*

Facts in Support of Finding: The project has been reviewed by the Public Works Department and there are no conflicts with the City's or other utility agencies' rights-of-way or easements.

Finding: *The discharge of sewage from this land division into the public sewer system will not violate the requirements of the State Regional Water Quality Control Board pursuant to Division 7 (commencing with State Water Code section 13000).*

Facts in Support of Finding: The lot currently has connections to the public sewer system for the existing residential units. The parcel map would allow for a residential project that would not include physical changes to the lot that would result in discharge into the public sewer system in violation of State requirements. Furthermore, the applicant will be required to comply with the

regulations set forth by the Costa Mesa Sanitation District and Mesa Water District. Compliance with the Costa Mesa Sanitation District and Mesa Water District involves the implementation of a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) for construction-related activities, which will specify the “best management practices” (BMPs) that the project will be required to implement during construction activities to ensure that all potential pollutants of concern (including sediment) are prevented, minimized, and/or otherwise appropriately treated prior to being discharged from the subject property.

As indicated above, the project complies with above findings to approve the Tentative Parcel Map. In addition, pursuant to the Subdivision Map Act, Government Code Section 66474, a parcel map must be denied if one or more findings are made:

Finding: *That the proposed map is not consistent with applicable general and specific plans as specified in Section 65451;*

Facts in Support of Findings: The proposed subdivision map is consistent with the goals, objectives, policies, and land use designations of the applicable General Plan and any relevant Specific Plans adopted for the area. The project complies with all applicable land use, circulation, and development standards and furthers the intent of the City’s planning documents. Therefore, this finding cannot be made.

Finding: *That the design or improvement of the proposed subdivision is not consistent with applicable general and specific plans;*

Facts in Support of Findings: The design and proposed improvements of the subdivision, including lot configuration, access, and infrastructure, conform with applicable General and Specific Plan policies and standards. Improvements are consistent with the planned infrastructure network and development pattern anticipated in the area. Therefore, this finding cannot be made.

Finding: *That the site is not physically suitable for the type of development;*

Facts in Support of Findings: The site is physically suitable for the type of development proposed. The topography, existing conditions, and lack of physical constraints such as flood zones or geologic hazards allow for safe and feasible development. Necessary utilities and infrastructure can be provided to support the proposed use. Therefore, this finding cannot be made.

Finding: *That the site is not physically suitable for the proposed density of development;*

Facts in Support of Findings: The proposed density of development is consistent with zoning regulations and the land use designation for the site. The site can adequately accommodate the proposed number of lots or units without resulting in overcrowding or unsafe conditions. Adequate access, open space, and public

services are available to support the proposed density. Therefore, this finding cannot be made.

Finding: *That the design of the subdivision or the proposed improvements are likely to cause substantial environmental damage or substantially and avoidably injure fish or wildlife or their habitat;*

Facts in Support of Findings: The design of the subdivision and associated improvements will not cause substantial environmental damage or significantly and avoidably impact fish or wildlife habitat in that there are no environmentally sensitive areas within or around the site. Therefore, this finding cannot be made.

Finding: *That the design of the subdivision or type of improvements is likely to cause serious public health problems; and*

Facts in Support of Findings: The design of the subdivision and proposed improvements will not result in any serious public health problems. The project will comply with all applicable building codes, health regulations, and safety standards. Required public services, including fire protection, water, and wastewater infrastructure, are available and sufficient to serve the development. Therefore, this finding cannot be made.

Finding: *That the design of the subdivision or the type of improvements will conflict with easements, acquired by the public at large, for access through or use of, property within the proposed subdivision. In this connection, the governing body may approve a map if it finds that alternate easements, for access or for use, will be provided, and that these will be substantially equivalent to ones previously acquired by the public. This subsection shall apply only to easements of record or to easements established by judgment of a court of competent jurisdiction and no authority is hereby granted to a legislative body to determine that the public at large has acquired easements for access through or use of property within the proposed subdivision."*

Facts in Support of Findings: The subdivision does not conflict with any public easements for access or use. All existing public easements of record have been identified and appropriately preserved, or alternate easements of substantially equivalent utility will be provided where necessary. The proposed map ensures continued public access and utility connectivity as required. Therefore, this finding cannot be made.

Pursuant to the proposed subdivision design, none of the above findings for denial can be made or associated with the proposed project.

Design Review Findings – CMMC Section 13-29(g)(14)

Finding: *The project complies with the City of Costa Mesa Zoning Code and meets the purpose and intent of the residential design guidelines, which are intended to promote design excellence in new residential construction, with consideration being*

given to compatibility with the established residential community. This design review includes site planning, preservation of overall open space, landscaping, appearance, mass and scale of structures, location of windows, varied roof forms and roof plane breaks, and any other applicable design features.

Facts in Support of Findings: The project proposes a maximum density of one dwelling unit per 3,630 square feet of lot area, which is consistent with the maximum allowable density for the R2-MD Zone and the Medium Density Residential land use designation. The project complies with all other applicable Zoning Code standards including setbacks, parking, and open space. The project design incorporates elevations with varied roof forms and projections including eaves and overhangs to provide visual interest as viewed from the street. The exterior materials include stone veneer, white stucco and standing seam metal roofing. The architecture of the homes includes varying roof forms, wall planes, and exterior materials which allow it to avoid a boxy two-story design. Landscaping throughout the project meets code requirements and the project would introduce 12 new trees on-site. The project will not result in privacy impacts to the surrounding residences based on the proposed window fenestration patterns and the proposed setbacks from the neighboring properties.

Finding: *The visual prominence associated with the construction of a two-story house or addition in a predominantly single-story neighborhood has been reduced through appropriate transitions between the first and second floors and the provision of second floor offsets to avoid unrelieved two-story walls.*

Facts in Support of Finding: The neighborhood includes a mixture of one and two-story residential properties consisting of single- and multiple-family residences. The abutting property to the southeast consists of a one-story residence and the abutting property to the southwest consists of two detached single-family residences. The second story design proposes a second-floor area that will not exceed the floor area of the first floor which complies with the design guidelines.

Finding: *As applicable to affordable multi-family housing developments, the project complies with the maximum density standards allowed pursuant to the general plan and provides affordable housing to low or very low-income households, as defined by the California Department of Housing and Community Development. The project includes long-term affordability covenants in compliance with state law.*

Facts in Support of Finding: The application does not include nor is required to provide an affordable multi-housing development component and therefore, the requirement to include an affordability covenant is not applicable to the project.

- B. The project is categorically exempt from the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15315 (Class 15), Minor Land Divisions for the parcel map application, and Section 15303

(Class 3), New Construction or Conversion of Small Structures for the design review application. The Project is also statutorily from CEQA exempt pursuant to Public Resources Code section 21080.66 (Housing Development Projects).

Under Class 15, the division of property in urbanized areas is exempt from the provisions of CEQA if the subdivision: is zoned for residential use, is being subdivided into four or fewer parcels, conforms with the General Plan and Zoning Code, is accessible and serviceable by utilities, was not involved in a division of a larger parcel within the previous two years, and has an average slope less than 20 percent. The proposed project meets the aforementioned conditions as described under CEQA Section 15315 in that:

- The project is located within the City of Costa Mesa and is consistent with the R2-MD Zoning Designation and the Medium Density Residential General Plan Designation because it proposes lot areas and development in compliance with zoning requirements, and proposes a density allowed by the General Plan Land Use Density;
- The project site is serviceable by all utilities and is accessible to the public right of way;
- The parcel has not been involved in a previous subdivision in the previous two years; and
- The parcel has been previously graded, is relatively flat and has an average slope less than 20 percent.

Additionally, under Section 15303 (Class 3), a project is exempt from the provisions of CEQA if it includes the construction or conversion of less than three new single-family residences in an urbanized area. The proposed project meets this requirement in that it includes the replacement of two existing single-family residences with two new single-family residences in an urban area. Lastly, none of the exceptions that bar the application of a categorical exemption pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15300.2 applies. Specifically, the project would not result in a cumulative environmental impact; would not have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances; would not result in damage to scenic resources; is not located on a hazardous site or location; and would not impact any historic resources.

- C. The project is not subject to a traffic impact fee, pursuant to Chapter XII, Article 3 Transportation System Management, of Title 13 of the Costa Mesa Municipal Code.

EXHIBIT B

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

- Plng. 1. Approval of Design Review 25-0002 and Tentative Parcel Map No. 2025-141 is valid for two (2) years from the effective date of this approval and will expire at the end of that period unless applicant establishes the use by one of the following actions: 1) a building permit has been issued and construction has commenced, and a valid building permit has been maintained by making satisfactory progress as determined by the Building Official; 2) a certificate of occupancy has been issued. A time extension can be requested no less than thirty (30) days or more than sixty (60) days before the expiration date of the permit and submitted with the appropriate fee for review to the Planning Division. The Director of Development Services may extend the time for an approved permit or approval to be exercised up to 180 days subject to specific findings listed in Title 13, Section 13-29 (k) (6). Only one request for an extension of 180 days may be approved by the Director. Any subsequent extension requests shall be considered by the original approval authority.
2. The conditions of approval for Design Review 25-0002 and Tentative Parcel Map No. 25-141 shall be blueprinted on the face of the site plan as part of the plan check submittal package. Address assignment shall be requested from the Planning Division prior to submittal of working drawings for plan check. The approved address of individual units, suites, buildings, etc., shall be blueprinted on the site plan and on all floor plans in the working drawings.
3. The final subdivision map shall be recorded with the County prior to the issuance of grading permits or building permits for the proposed development.
4. The applicant shall install a six-foot high decorative block wall around the perimeter of the proposed development lot pursuant to the CMMC. Where walls on adjacent properties already exist, the applicant shall work with the adjacent property owner(s) to prevent side-by-side walls with gaps in between them and/or provide adequate privacy screen by trees and landscaping.
5. Prior to building permit final, the developer shall construct decorative block walls or wood fencing on the interior property lines of the development lot pursuant to the CMMC. Any future modifications to the fencing on the interior property lines after project completion shall be first reviewed and approved by the Development Services Director and any required permits obtained prior to installation. The location and height of walls and fences shall comply with Code requirements, as well as any visibility standards for traffic safety related to ingress and egress.
6. No modification(s) of the approved building elevations including, but not limited to, change of architectural type, changes that increase the building height, removal of building articulation, or a change of the finish material(s), shall be made during construction without prior Planning Division written approval. Elevations shall not be modified unless otherwise approved by Development Services Director as consistent with the architectural design and features of the proposed development. Failure to obtain prior Planning Division approval of the modification could result in the requirement of the applicant to (re)process the

modification through a discretionary review process, or in the requirement to modify the construction to reflect the approved plans.

7. Trash receptacles shall be screened from view, and designed and located appropriately to minimize potential noise and odor impacts to residential areas.
8. Any ground floor exterior decks/patios shall not be built higher than six inches above natural grade.
9. Backflow preventers, and any other approved above-ground utility improvement shall be located outside of the required street setback area and shall be screened from view, under direction of Planning staff. Any deviation from this requirement shall be subject to review and approval of the Development Services Director.
10. The applicant shall defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the City, its elected and appointed officials, agents, officers and employees from any claim, legal action, or proceeding (collectively referred to as "proceeding") brought against the City, its elected and appointed officials, agents, officers or employees arising out of, or which are in any way related to, the applicant's project, or any approval granted by City related to the applicant's project. The indemnification shall include, but not be limited to, damages, fees and/or costs awarded against the City, if any, and cost of suit, attorney's fees, and other costs, liabilities and expenses incurred in connection with such proceeding whether incurred by the applicant, the City and/or the parties initiating or bringing such proceeding. This indemnity provision shall include the applicant's obligation to indemnify the City for all the City's costs, fees, and damages that the City incurs in enforcing the indemnification provisions set forth in this section. City shall have the right to choose its own legal counsel to represent the City's interests, and applicant shall indemnify City for all such costs incurred by City.
11. The landscaping of this project shall comply with the City's landscaping requirements and any applicable guidelines (i.e. Water Efficient Landscape Guidelines). A final landscape plan shall be submitted with the plan check submittal.
12. All driveways and parking areas shall consist of decorative concrete, pavers or other materials as deemed appropriate by the Development Services Director. The final landscape concept plan shall indicate the landscape palette and the design/material of paved areas, and the landscape/hardscape plan shall be approved by the Planning Division prior to issuance of building permits.
13. The applicant shall contact the current cable company prior to issuance of building permits to arrange for pre-wiring for future cable communication service.
14. The applicant shall contact the Planning Division to arrange a Planning inspection of the site prior to the release of occupancy/utilities. This inspection is to confirm that the conditions of approval and code requirements have been satisfied.
15. A precise grading plan shall be submitted and clearly identify the lowest and highest elevation point of the development. The lowest point of the finished surface elevation of either the ground, paving or sidewalk within the area between

the building and the property line, or when the property line is more than five (5) feet from the building, between the building and a line five (5) feet from the building.

16. On-site lighting shall be provided in all parking areas, vehicular access ways, and along major walkways. The lighting shall be directed onto driveways and walkways within the project and away from dwelling units and adjacent properties to minimize light and glare impacts and shall be of a type approved by the development services department.
17. Prior to building permit issuance, the applicant shall submit a comprehensive utilities plan that shows utility design, undergrounding and required dedications/easements. The plan will be reviewed by both the City's Building Division and Public Works Department.
- Eng. 18. Two copies of the Final Map and one copy of the Property Boundary closure calculations shall be submitted to the City of Costa Mesa Engineering Division for checking.
19. Submit updated Title Report of subject property.
20. The Parcel Map shall be developed in full compliance of CCMMC Sec. 13-208 through 13-261 inclusive.
21. Submit seven copies, one duplicate mylar and an electronic copy of recorded map or signed plan to Engineering Division, City of Costa Mesa, prior to occupancy.
22. In accordance with C.M.M.C. Section 13-230, the Subdivider shall submit street improvement plans and/or off-site plans at the time of first submittal of the Final Parcel Map. Plan check fee shall be paid per C.M.M.C. Section 13-231.
23. Prior to recordation of a final map, the surveyor/engineer preparing the map shall tie the boundary of the map into the Horizontal Control System established by the County Surveyor and shall submit to the City Engineer and the County Surveyor a digital-graphic file of said map in a manner described in Sub-article 11/12, Sections 7-9-330/7-9-337 of the Orange County Subdivision Code.
24. Survey Monuments shall be preserved and referenced or set pursuant to Section 8771 of the Professional Land Surveyors Act and Business and Professional code.
25. Submit cash deposit or surety bond to guarantee monumentation prior to approval of the map. Amount to be determined by City Engineer.
26. Dedicate a 5-foot public street and highway easement behind existing right of way line on Orange Avenue.
27. At the time of development submit for approval an Offsite Plan to the Engineering Division and Grading Plan to the Building Division that shows Sewer, Water, Existing Parkway Improvements and the limits of work on the site prepared by a

registered Civil Engineer. Cross lot drainage shall not occur. Construction Access approval must be obtained prior to Building or Engineering Permits being issued by the City of Costa Mesa.

28. Pay Offsite Plan Check fee per Section 13-231 of the C.C.M.M.C. and an approved Offsite Plan shall be required prior to Engineering Permits being issued by the City of Costa Mesa.
29. Maintain the public Right-of-Way in a "wet-down" condition to prevent excessive dust and remove any spillage from the public Right-of-Way by sweeping or sprinkling.
30. Obtain a permit from the City of Costa Mesa, Engineering Division, at the time of development and then construct P.C.C. Residential sidewalk per City of Costa Mesa Standards as shown on the Offsite Plan, including four (4) feet clear around obstructions in the sidewalk.
31. Obtain a permit from the City of Costa Mesa, Engineering Division, at the time of development and then construct P.C.C. driveway approaches per City of Costa Mesa Standards as shown on the Offsite Plan. Location and dimensions are subject to the approval of the Transportation Services Manager. ADA compliance required for all new driveway approaches.
32. Obtain a permit from the City of Costa Mesa, Engineering Division, at the time of development and then reconstruct Wheelchair Ramp on the corner of Orange Avenue and Santa Isabel Avenue.
33. Fulfill Drainage Ordinance Fee requirements prior to: Approval of Final Map/Approval of Plans.
34. In order to comply with the 2003 Drainage Area Management Plan (DAMP), the proposed Project shall prepare a Water Quality Management Plan conforming to the Current National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) and the Model WQMP, prepared by a Licensed Civil Engineer or Environmental Engineer, which shall be submitted to the Department of Public Works for review and approval. a) A WQMP (Priority or Non-Priority) shall be maintained and updated as needed to satisfy the requirements of the adopted NPDES program. The plan shall ensure that the existing water quality measures for all improved phases of the project are adhered to. b) Location of BMPs shall not be within the public right-of-way.

CODE REQUIREMENTS

The following list of federal, State and local laws applicable to the project has been compiled by staff for the applicant's reference. Any reference to "City" pertains to the City of Costa Mesa.

- PIng.
1. All contractors and subcontractors must have valid business licenses to do business in the City of Costa Mesa. Final inspections, final occupancy and utility releases will not be granted until all such licenses have been obtained.

2. The location and height of walls, fences, and landscaping shall comply with Code requirements, as well as any visibility standards for traffic safety related to ingress and egress.
3. All noise-generating construction activities shall be limited to 7 a.m. to 7 p.m. Monday through Friday and 9 a.m. to 6 p.m. Saturday. Noise-generating construction activities shall be prohibited on Sunday and the following Federal holidays: New Year's Day, Memorial Day, Independence Day, Labor Day, Thanksgiving Day and Christmas Day.
4. Development shall comply with all requirements of Section 13-32 and Article 2.5, Title 13, of the Costa Mesa Municipal Code relating to development standards for residential projects.
5. Prior to the issuance of building permits, the applicant shall contact the US Postal Service with regard to location and design of mail delivery facilities. Such facilities shall be shown on the site plan, landscape plan, and/or floor plan.
6. Address assignment shall be requested from the Planning Division prior to submittal of working drawings for plan check. The approved address of individual units, suits, building, etc., shall be blueprinted on the site plan and on all floor plans in the working drawings.
7. All on-site utility services shall be installed underground pursuant to CMMC section 13-71(d).
8. Installation of all new utility meters shall be performed in a manner so as to obscure the installation from view from any place on or off the property. The installation shall be in a manner acceptable to the public utility and shall be in the form of a vault, wall cabinet, or wall box under the direction of the Planning Division.
9. Any mechanical equipment such as air-conditioning equipment and duct work shall be screened from view in a manner approved by the Planning Division.
10. Detailed landscape and irrigation plans, which meet the requirements set forth in Costa Mesa Municipal Code Sections 13-101 through 13-108 and the City's Water Efficient Landscape Guidelines, shall be required as part of the project plan check review and approval process. Plans shall be forwarded to the Planning Division for final approval prior to issuance of building permits.
11. Landscaping and irrigation shall be installed in accordance with the approved plans prior to final inspection or occupancy clearance.
12. A minimum 20-foot by 20-foot clear inside dimension shall be provided for the two-car garages, with minimum garage door width of 16 feet and automatic garage door openers. The proposed garages shall be used for parking as required by code as it is not habitable space; further excess storage which prevents parking the required number of vehicles is prohibited.

- Bldg.
13. Comply with the requirements of the most recent adopted California Residential Code, California Building Code, California Electrical Code, California Mechanical Code, California Plumbing Code, California Green Building Standards, California Energy Code, and California Code of Regulations also known as the California Building Standards Code, as amended by the City of Costa Mesa.
 14. If soil contamination exists, then remediation plans shall be submitted to both the Building Division and the County of Orange for review, approval and issuing a permit. Building permit(s) shall not be issued until the soil is certified as clean and usable by a Soil's Engineer.
 15. Plans shall be prepared under the supervision of a registered California Architect or Engineer. Plan shall be stamped and signed by the registered California Architect or Engineer.
 16. Maximum area of exterior wall openings and fire rating shall be determined in accordance with the applicable provisions of California Residential Code.
 17. Construction/ improvements that encroach within Public Utility Easements shall require written approvals from the utility companies associated with that easement.
 18. Prior to the Building Div. (AQMD) issuing a demolition permit contact South Coast Air Quality Management District located at: 21865 Copley Dr. Diamond Bar, CA 91765-4178 Tel: 909- 396-2000 Or Visit their web site <http://www.costamesaca.gov/modules/showdocument.aspx?documentid=2338>
1 The Building Div. will not issue a demolition permit until an Identification no. is provided by AQMD.
 19. New residential construction shall be fire-sprinkler.
 20. Submit a precise grading plans, an erosion control plan and a hydrology study. A precise grading plan shall not be required if any of the following are met: 1- An excavation which does not exceed 50 CY on any one site and which is less than 2 ft in vertical depth, or which does not create a cut slope greater than 1 ½:1 (excluding foundation area). 2- A fill less than 1 foot in depth placed on natural grade with a slope flatter than 5:1, which does not exceed 50 CY on any one lot and does not obstruct a drainage course. 3- A fill less than 3 ft in depth, not intended to support structures, which does not exceed 50 CY on any one lot and does not obstruct a drainage course. Prior to issuing the Building permit, the rough grading certificate shall be submitted to the Building Div.
 21. Submit a soils report for this project. Soil's Report recommendations shall be blueprinted on both the architectural and the precise grading plans.
 22. On graded sites the top of exterior foundation shall extend above the elevation of the street gutter at point of discharge or the inlet of an approved discharge devise a minimum of 12 inches plus 2 percent. CRC 403.1.7.3 ii- Lots shall be graded to drain surface water away from foundation walls. The grade shall fall a minimum of 6 inches within the first 10 feet. CRC R401.3

- | | | |
|--------|-----|--|
| Fire | 23. | Comply with the requirements of the 2022 California Fire Code, including the reference standards, as adopted and amended by Costa Mesa Fire & Rescue. |
| | 24. | NFPA 13D Sprinkler systems to be installed. Note for contractor awareness: NFPA 13D 11.2.3.1 Waterflow Devices - Where waterflow detection devices are installed, these devices, including the associated alarm circuits, shall be flow tested through the inspector's test connection and shall result in an audible alarm on the premises. |
| Sani. | 25. | It is recommended that the developer contact the Costa Mesa Sanitary District at (949) 645-8400 for current district requirements. |
| School | 26. | Pay applicable Newport Mesa Unified School District fees to the Building Division prior to issuance of building permits. |