

## GONZALEZ, GLADYS

---

**From:** wulffza1 <wulffza@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 19, 2022 8:43 AM  
**To:** CITY CLERK  
**Subject:** City Council meeting - April 19 - West side fireworks

Good morning

I am not sure if there is a process for a resident and homeowner to raise a question or concern in a city council meeting.

The issue concerns the ongoing problem of M-80 style BOOM fireworks on the west side. Last year these began in late April, almost nightly and as early as 5:30am on a weekend, peaking around July 4th where 20 occurrences on a Sunday afternoon became common place. These have begun already this year. Multiple occurrences in the last two weeks.

At the time I reached out to the mayor and council members to express the extent of the problem that terrorizes residents and animals and in some cases severely impacts mental well being. Numerous times I called them in to Costa Mesa policy, able to identify the general area they are coming from, which is most frequently the city blocks bordered by Placentia, 17th, 19th and Anaheim. The problem persisted through August.

I would like the city to take proactive and assertive action to curb this problem, as changing the electronic billboard to read "Illegal Fireworks Prohibited" in late June last year was not sufficiently effective. Permitting TNT fireworks stands at various places around the city on 4th of July weekend is confusing and implies that fireworks are permitted. I am not sure what the history of dileanting legal vs illegal fireworks is, but seems to me that its time to move toward ALL fireworks being illegal and and action taken to enforce this, as well as incentivizing civilians to help the police address the issue.

Thank you

Dieter Wulff  
784 Leeward Way  
Costa Mesa, CA

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities to the Information Technology Department.

## GONZALEZ, GLADYS

---

**Subject:** RE: Harper Park Comment for 4/19 Meeting

-----Original Message-----

From: Jennifer Tanaka <jletanaka@gmail.com>  
Sent: Tuesday, April 19, 2022 11:56 AM  
To: CITY COUNCIL <CITYCOUNCIL@costamesaca.gov>  
Cc: GREEN, BRENDA <brenda.green@costamesaca.gov>  
Subject: Harper Park Comment for 4/19 Meeting

Greetings, City Council:

I wanted to reach out with a short request that, as you consider budgeting for improvements for various parks in Costa Mesa, you take a close look at Harper Park.

Harper is a small, almost pocket park that sits under some absolutely majestic mature trees and adjacent to fields owned by Newport Mesa Unified School District. This location next to wide open grass is unique among the neighborhood parks, and accordingly it attracts a wide variety of users. Families with small children are drawn to the aging playground, the only one in walking distance for many, and dog owners keep the park in near-constant use as an unofficial off-leash dog park.

Recently the use of this park has significantly increased. Harper's fields are the full time practice facilities for a local school field hockey team, and it is also designated as an AYSO soccer field. Children from the new charter school next door, ISAAC, often use it after school, and everyone with a new COVID puppy in the neighborhood seem to use it too. And that doesn't account for the general uptick in park usage due to the pandemic.

Unfortunately, Harper is buckling under the strain. The temporary lights are in varying states of disarray, with the protective fences often lying akimbo in the grass. Often there is trash left on the fields from games and practices, and the playground is showing its age. The picnic area lacks any shade (and thus often goes unused), and the water fountain rarely works.

This location could be a neighborhood gem, and I know it is much beloved even in its current state. The playground should be refreshed and fenced in to protect from off-leash dogs, and it should be brought up to ADA requirements to ensure all our neighbors can enjoy it. A permanent solution for the lighting should be found, and the picnic area should be reworked to provide shade, better watering facilities for people and dogs, and potentially even restrooms.

The small footprint of this park means we could get a lot of bang for our buck. I hope you will give it some serious consideration when making budgetary decisions. Thank you for your time.

Best,  
Jenn Tanaka  
Eastside Resident (321 Broadway)

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities to the Information Technology Department.

**RFP NO. 22-10 PARKS & LANDSCAPE**

Cost Proposals	Brightview		Mariposa		Merchants		Parkwood		SGD Enterprises		Stay Green	
	Monthly	Annual	Monthly	Annual	Monthly	Annual	Monthly	Annual	Monthly	Annual	Monthly	Annual
Parkways & Medians	\$65,011.99	\$780,143.88	\$27,201.00	\$326,412.00	\$46,962.00	\$563,544.00	\$65,260.75	\$783,129.00	\$58,000.00	\$696,000.00	\$80,510.93	\$966,131.16
Fire Stations	\$1,970.05	\$23,640.60	\$2,317.00	\$27,804.00	\$2,278.00	\$27,336.00	\$1,977.57	\$23,730.84	\$10,000.00	\$120,000.00	\$2,439.72	\$29,276.64
Misc. Areas	\$15,760.40	\$189,124.80	\$8,784.00	\$105,408.00	\$11,882.00	\$142,584.00	\$15,820.58	\$189,846.96	\$30,000.00	\$360,000.00	\$21,089.47	\$253,073.64
Parks & Facilities	\$92,592.07	\$1,111,104.84	\$103,428.00	\$1,241,136.00	\$117,974.00	\$1,415,688.00	\$92,945.93	\$1,115,351.16	\$75,000.00	\$900,000.00	\$114,667.08	\$1,376,004.96
Sports Fields	\$21,670.54	\$260,046.48	\$37,498.00	\$449,978.00	\$32,632.00	\$391,584.00	\$21,753.30	\$261,039.60	\$52,000.00	\$624,000.00	\$26,836.97	\$322,043.64
Extra Work Pricing	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
<b>TOTAL</b>	\$197,005.05	<b>\$2,364,060.60</b>	\$179,228.00	<b>\$2,150,738.00</b>	\$211,728.00	<b>\$2,540,736.00</b>	\$197,758.13	<b>\$2,373,097.56</b>	\$225,000.00	<b>\$2,700,000.00</b>	\$245,544.17	<b>\$2,946,530.04</b>
Proposal Scores	1195.5		987.5		977.33		870.32		607.83		679.5	
Overall Ranking	<b>1</b>		<b>2</b>		<b>3</b>		<b>4</b>		<b>6</b>		<b>5</b>	