

**From:** [Priscilla Rocco](#)  
**To:** [FVPMP](#); [CITY CLERK](#)  
**Subject:** Kelly Dalton, Initial Study and Mitigated Negative Declaration; cc. Costa Mesa City Council  
**Date:** Friday, June 12, 2026 6:57:38 PM

---

Dear Mr. Dalton,

I think that we must save Fairview Park as a Nature preserve and Native American sacred site. If not for the love of an irreplaceable refuge of flora, fauna, and the largest vernal pool complex west of the Mississippi, then for Ecotourism that will bring a wider range of tourists to the City of Costa Mesa.

Costa Mesa First has drafted an extremely detailed letter with suggestions and questions about the IS/MND. I doubt you will get a better analysis anywhere. I, therefore, support this information and ask that you give it great consideration as you move forward.

In closing, I find it impossible to comprehend why the City can find no money for a park ranger to stop the destruction of gangs on e-bikes and motorcycles in Fairview Park, yet is happily subsidising the Harbor Soaring Society's destruction of another nesting area in the park for a new runway. Every scientist and agency has made it clear that their activities are anathema to a passive Nature park. It defies logic that the decisions about Fairview Park are being made by ignorant politicians who think a golf course is Nature.

Priscilla Rocco  
Costa Mesa

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities to the Information Technology Department.

**From:** [Stephanie Cornwall](#)  
**To:** [CITY CLERK](#)  
**Subject:** Costa Mesa flock cameras  
**Date:** Monday, June 15, 2026 10:11:01 PM

---

To Whom it May Concern,

As a Costa Mesa resident I am deeply concerned about the mass surveillance of myself and my neighbors through the use of flock cameras. Flock has had a history of sharing data more broadly with other entities that they did not initially intend to share with, including with government entities.

The right to privacy is incredibly important and should not be given up. Using this mass AI surveillance system would be a huge mistake.

Stephanie Cornwall

Sent from my iPhone

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities to the Information Technology Department.

**From:** [Karen K](#)  
**To:** [CITY CLERK](#)  
**Subject:** Flock cameras  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 16, 2026 9:33:06 AM

---

The relentless expansion of surveillance cameras must cease immediately. We are ordinary, law-abiding citizens, not criminals, yet we are subjected to constant monitoring under the guise of crime prevention. This technology tags, watches, and tracks our every move, fundamentally violating the privacy that good citizens are entitled to. The idea that ubiquitous cameras are a legitimate tool against crime when they infringe on everyone's daily life is a false premise. We demand an immediate halt to this invasive practice that erodes our fundamental rights. STOP it now.

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities to the Information Technology Department.

**From:** [Priscilla Rocco](#)  
**To:** [CITY CLERK](#)  
**Subject:** Get The FLOCK out of Costa Mesa  
**Date:** Monday, June 15, 2026 11:22:22 PM

---

City Council,

SB34 and other laws prohibit California local and state law enforcement agencies from sharing automatic license plate reader (ALPR) data or connecting their databases with federal entities like ICE, CBP, or out-of-state public agencies. Yet in areas like Costa Mesa, Orange County, and San Diego, civil rights investigations and audits found instances of local police departments violating SB 34 and other laws by sharing data with agencies like ICE and DHS over and over again.

You might say this is a great way to catch people who are speeding, or running a red light. But that's not how it works. The system is always on, indiscriminately collecting all license plate numbers of every car that passes by. And these databases have been routinely abused and misused.

In the last year and a half, we've seen that laws and policies do not protect our communities from brutality and even death at the hands of government goons. Especially if the city council is taken-over by forces who feel it's their duty to work arm-in-arm with ICE, US Customs and Boarder Protection, and Homeland Security to round up our law-abiding neighbors (fathers, mothers, and nursing babies) and dump them in over-crowded and unsanitary for-profit concentration camps, or deport them to torture prisons outside the country, or to other countries engulfed in war.

This isn't hyperbole. It's what's happening to our teachers, store clerks, and nurses everyday in every state throughout our country.

This FLOCK system tracks our daily movements and stores them in a database, to be searched on demand without reasonable suspicion or warrants. This is a massive invasion of privacy and a waste of taxpayer funds. These cameras arguably violate our 4th Amendment rights against unwarranted searches and seizures, especially since the data in these databases can so easily be used for nefarious purposes.

These cameras must be removed. This is not a system for catching reckless drivers. It is a system of Big Brother surveillance.

Priscilla Rocco  
Costa Mesa

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities to the Information Technology Department.

**From:** [Amanda Shepherd Yoga](#)  
**To:** [CITY CLERK](#)  
**Subject:** No Flock Cameras  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 16, 2026 7:19:32 AM

---

Dear Costa Mesa City Clerk:

Please block Flock cameras. Surveillance does not equal safety. Flock databases are far too easily abused by warrantless searches from ICE and DHS with “side door “ work arounds to circumvent SB34 and SB54.

Protecting privacy is a higher priority than traffic tickets.

Flock cameras are unnecessary and violate personal privacy. Orange County does not need them.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

/s/

Amanda Shepherd :)  
20982 Seacoast Circle  
Huntington Beach, CA 92648  
e: [amandashepherd@yoga.com](mailto:amandashepherd@yoga.com)

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities to the Information Technology Department.

**From:** [Erin Hendershot](#)  
**To:** [CITY CLERK](#)  
**Subject:** No to flock  
**Date:** Monday, June 15, 2026 7:22:40 PM

---

Sent from my iPhone

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities to the Information Technology Department.

**From:** [Rebecca Wyant](#)  
**To:** [CITY CLERK](#)  
**Subject:** Please Read into the Meeting Record  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 16, 2026 7:34:26 AM

---

Residents AND the students of Costa Mesa do NOT want this dystopian surveillance system. They have already been misused in the field and are not here for our protection.

GET THE FLOCK CAMERAS OUT OF COSTA MESA! AND: Do NOT replace Flock with another surveillance vendor!

-

### **IMPORTANT**

This email is from a student and does NOT represent the official views of the Coast Community College District, Orange Coast College, Golden West College, or Coastline College.

#### **This email may be a SCAM if it:**

- Claims to be from IT and mentions your account
- Offers a job of any kind
- Pretends to represent any of the campuses

If you notice any of these signs, or if the email seems unusual or inappropriate, please report it to **phishing@ccd.edu**.

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities to the Information Technology Department.

**From:** [Jay C](#)  
**To:** [CITY CLERK](#)  
**Subject:** Please read into the meeting record  
**Date:** Monday, June 15, 2026 11:38:59 PM

---

Please, get all Flock cameras out of Costa Mesa. They are not what our democracy is. They are mass surveillance that not only undermine our privacy, but also threaten democracy, and have been used to surveil individuals illegally. They create an atmosphere of fear to speak out on our street corners or travel freely in our cities. This is not to mention that the owners of Flock are beholden to and give their allegiance to a corrupt administration in Washington, that will not hesitate to use the Flock data if/when they choose to declare war on any people peacefully demonstrating against Trump remaining in office.

I am a long time resident here in Orange County, and do not consider myself radical to the right or left, but to be fiscally conservative, and a supporter of our constitution.

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities to the Information Technology Department.

**From:** [Samuel Castaneda](#)  
**To:** [CITY CLERK](#)  
**Subject:** Please read into the meeting record  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 16, 2026 11:44:51 AM

---

To the people of Costa Mesa,

As a regular visitor of Costa Mesa's restaurants and shops it concerns me that the city would cooperate with flock! Automatic license plate readers have lost my trust as toll roads also has automated readers that has repeatedly sent me fines for a plate that "looked like mine". I wouldn't want countless other citizens harassed due to readers that send information that is automated and collected without consent. Please keep these alpr and flock out of your city!

Thank You,  
Sam Castaneda

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities to the Information Technology Department.

**From:** [Raquel Z](#)  
**To:** [CITY CLERK](#)  
**Subject:** Please read into the meeting record  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 16, 2026 11:46:04 AM

---

Dear Council Members,

My name is Raquel and I work in the field of Public Health, I've lived in Orange County for 20 years and I am a community member of Costa Mesa.

The presence of Flock or any other ALPR cameras in Costa Mesa is a major security risk, a violation of privacy and civil liberties, and have a high risk of misuse. I am asking Costa Mesa to join other cities that have successfully removed their ALPR cameras and ended their contracts with Flock across the country.

Costa Mesa's website states that "the ALPR systems utiliz[ed] only detect license plates and vehicles [and that] they do not photograph or record the occupants of vehicles and do not utilize facial recognition technology." The cameras operated may only capture rear plates. But the Flock platform those cameras feed into is significantly more than a plate reader. Flock can release constant updates that expands the capabilities without installation. As a community member we don't have a voice currently as there are no procedures to have a city council hearing or a vote for these updates.

The 4th amendment says we have "The right of the people to be secure in their persons , houses, papers , and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause, supported by Oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized."

In [Carpenter v. United States \(2018\)](#), the Supreme Court explicitly rejected the argument that venturing into public eliminates Fourth Amendment protection. Chief Justice [John Roberts](#) wrote that the government's access to 127 days of cell-site location data, tracking movements on public roads, achieved near perfect surveillance, as if it had attached an ankle monitor to the phone's user.[1] The Court said, "A person does not surrender all Fourth Amendment protection by venturing into the public sphere." This case is one example of how as a community members these cameras are a violation of our personal liberties and right to privacy.

Beyond this Flock cameras are notoriously insecure. At one point they forgot to set the password for 60+ cameras meaning anyone could download 30 days worth of video archive and change settings. I do not feel comfortable with individuals using these cameras to track our community members. Every database search is supposed to be logged with a justification, but officers usually just cite a vague statute.

There are many documented instances of officers using the database for personal reasons. In 2024, the former police chief of Sedgwick, Kansas, used Flock automatic license-plate reader (ALPR) cameras to track his ex-girlfriend's vehicles 228 times over more than four months and even followed her and her new boyfriend.

We have already seen this happen in many instances including in Costa Mesa itself, with a Costa Mesa police officer pleading guilty to using law enforcement tools, including FLOCK

license plate readers, to track his wife, mistress and romantic rivals!!

Additionally the use of these cameras allows ICE to access Flock data. There have been many cases where federal and state agencies accessed Flock camera data without consent one of which being Mountain View, California.

City Council members I request that you Remove Flock cameras from Costa Mesa and do NOT replace Flock with another surveillance vendor.

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities to the Information Technology Department.

**From:** [Kathryn Hoist](#)  
**To:** [CITY CLERK](#)  
**Subject:** Please read into the meeting record  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 16, 2026 11:47:50 AM

---

Hello,

I am writing in regards to removing flock cameras from Costa Mesa. As a member of the community who is greatly disturbed at the amount of dangerous driving and would love more accountability in keeping our streets safe, I do not trust this private company due to its many failings across this country including illegally detaining citizens based on flock footage that used ai to ID people incorrectly and the company's track record for lying about how data is stored.

In our own city, an officer on leave used flock camera footage to stalk his own wife and mistress. We cannot trust the words that any representative from Flock says because their own CEO has lied about security measures within their technology.

It disturbs me that we are willing to allow a private company to build entire databases of Costa Mesa citizens and the people who visit us here to use however they want. It cannot possibly have a benefit that out ways this cost both socially and fiscally.

There are no protections against someone hacking into this system and there are already people doing it. Let's find a better way to handle keeping Costa Mesa safe instead of this dystopian spy program.

Thank you for reading my comment,  
Kathryn Hoist  
District 6

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities to the Information Technology Department.

**From:** [Sean Drexler](#)  
**To:** [CITY CLERK](#)  
**Subject:** Please read into the meeting record  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 16, 2026 11:48:48 AM

---

Hello Mayor and City Council,

I am writing to urge the council to cancel the city's contract(s) with Flock and to remove all Flock ALPR cameras from Costa Mesa. Further, I urge the council to refrain from replacing Flock with another surveillance vendor. These cameras invade our privacy and put Costa Mesa residents, workers, and commuters at risk of harm - the opposite of their stated purpose.

Thank you,  
Sean Drexler

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities to the Information Technology Department.

**From:** [Mary Ang](#)  
**To:** [CITY CLERK](#)  
**Subject:** Please read into the meeting record  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 16, 2026 11:48:49 AM

---

Dear Costa Mesa Council,

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the deployment of Flock Safety license plate reader (LPR) cameras in our community. While I understand the intent to improve public safety, I believe these systems pose significant and unacceptable risks to the privacy and civil liberties of residents.

My primary concerns are as follows:

1. **Mass Surveillance Without Consent.** Flock cameras capture and log the movements of every vehicle that passes through — including those of law-abiding residents who have not consented to being tracked. This creates a de facto surveillance network that records our daily routines, whereabouts, and associations.
2. **Data Retention and Third-Party Access.** Flock Safety retains vehicle data and shares it with a broad network of law enforcement agencies, including those outside our jurisdiction. Residents have no visibility into who accesses this data, for what purpose, or for how long it is stored.
3. **Lack of Oversight and Accountability.** There is currently no clear local policy governing data access requests, audit trails, or independent oversight. Without these safeguards, the potential for misuse — including tracking of political activity, religious attendance, or domestic situations — is real and documented in other jurisdictions.
4. **Chilling Effect on Free Movement.** The knowledge that one's movements are being logged has a documented chilling effect on constitutionally protected activities, including free assembly and association.

I urge the CM Council to pause any expansion or new deployment of Flock cameras until a comprehensive public process is conducted — including community input, an independent privacy impact assessment, a strict data retention and access policy, and regular public audits.

Our community deserves both safety and privacy. These goals are not mutually exclusive, and I ask that you pursue solutions that protect both.

Thank you for your time and consideration. I welcome the opportunity to discuss this further.

Sincerely,

Mary

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities to the Information Technology Department.

**From:** [Madelyn Sando](#)  
**To:** [CITY CLERK](#)  
**Subject:** Please read into the meeting record  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 16, 2026 9:37:00 AM

---

As a lifelong resident of Orange County, and frequent visitor if the great city of Costa Meas, I urge the Costa Mesa City Council to ask that Costa Mesa CANCEL its contract with Flock and remove all Flock ALPR cameras. Furthermore, do NOT replace Flock with another vendor.

Despite claims that these cameras are only used to look at vehicles connected to crimes, they are fundamentally blanket surveillance and tracking systems. The system captures every plate it sees and retains them all for 365 days, according to CM Transparency Portal. Every car, every driver, whether connected to a crime or not. That is not targeted law enforcement.

I'm sure the intentions of the Costa Mesa City Council and the CMPD are good, but intentions mean nothing, especially when the actions of Flock go against those intentions. Flock itself describes its system as functioning to classify people by gender, race, height, weight, and clothing. Not cars, people. Flock has a unified operating system that ties plate readers, video, audio, and AI into a single, searchable platform. We already have evidence of other cities, like Mountain View, enacting their own safeguards, only to later discover that Flock overrode those measures without their knowledge.

Any vendor of any kind behaving in this way should automatically be disqualified from operating in Costa Mesa.

Thank you for your time and your attention.

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities to the Information Technology Department.

**From:** [Bulmaro Vicente](#)  
**To:** [CITY CLERK](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 16, 2026 11:50:12 AM

---

Hello Mayor and Members of the City Council,

My name is Bulmaro "Boomer" Vicente, and I am the Political and Policy Director for Chispa. I am writing to express my strong opposition to the City's use of Flock Safety cameras and to urge the Council to cancel its contract with Flock.

Surveillance technology raises serious concerns about privacy, civil liberties, and the potential for misuse. These concerns are especially urgent given the current climate surrounding immigration enforcement. Costa Mesa has been deeply impacted by recent immigration raids, and many immigrant families are living in fear. The last thing our community needs is an expanded surveillance network capable of tracking residents' movements and storing their data.

We have already seen how surveillance technology can be abused. A former law enforcement officer reportedly misused camera data to stalk an ex-partner, demonstrating that even when safeguards exist, access to sensitive information can be exploited. This incident should serve as a warning that these systems are not immune from misuse.

Beyond the privacy concerns, Flock cameras collect and retain vast amounts of data on everyday residents who have done nothing wrong. This creates significant risks for immigrants, communities of color, and anyone concerned about government overreach or the sharing of data with outside agencies.

For these reasons, I urge the City Council not only to reject any expansion of Flock cameras, but to terminate the City's contract with Flock altogether. Costa Mesa should invest in community-based public safety solutions that build trust rather than surveillance systems that erode it.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

In Solidarity,

Boomer  
Policy and Political Director | Chispa  
e: [boomer@chispaoc.org](mailto:boomer@chispaoc.org) c: [714.747.4271](tel:714.747.4271)  
1505 E 17th Street Suite 117 Santa Ana, CA 92705

[Chispa is building a political home for young Latinxs in Orange County! Please consider making a donation to help us build our casita. Chispa is a project of Tides Advocacy, a 501c4 non-profit organization.](#)

**From:** [Stephanie Struck](#)  
**To:** [CITY CLERK](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Flock out of CM  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 16, 2026 8:28:31 AM

---

City of Costa Mesa,

As a resident of the city, I do NOT approve of the contract with any surveillance products including flock cameras. Surveillance does not make us safer, it further makes us the product for tech oligarchs and evil leaders who wish to surveillance Americans in our daily lives. Please cancel the contract immediately. The best way to keep Costa Mesa safe is to protect the residents and our privacy from these greedy companies. For people to stay in this city, and to trust our leaders, you must show a priority for our true safety and privacy.

Stephanie Struck  
Local CM resident

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities to the Information Technology Department.

**From:** [ESCOBAR, SERGIO](#)  
**To:** [CITY CLERK](#)  
**Cc:** [TORRES, IVIS](#)  
**Subject:** Fw: Item 1 - New Business  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 16, 2026 10:11:01 AM  
**Attachments:** [Outlook-liatdcjw.png](#)  
[Outlook-mds2bwam.png](#)  
[Outlook-fiv0bpyf.png](#)  
[Outlook-rfirtub.png](#)  
[Outlook-zqoslxko.png](#)

---

Hello,

Please see below Public Comment.

Best regards,



**Sergio Escobar**

Management Analyst

City Manager's Office

O: (714) 754-5156 | C: (714) 925-7457

77 Fair Drive | Costa Mesa | CA 92626



---

**From:** Mike Byer <mbyer@richlandinvestments.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 16, 2026 9:27 AM  
**To:** CITY COUNCIL <CITYCOUNCIL@costamesaca.gov>  
**Subject:** Item 1 - New Business

Members of the Council –

<https://costamesa.legistar.com/gateway.aspx?m=l&id=/matter.aspx?key=3743>

Please vote down the idea of (FTHB) for the use of Measure Q funds. Public Safety / Park Maintenance are a much better use of the funds.

Mike Byer  
Senior Vice President  
Richland Communities, INC

1920 Main St. Suite 500  
Irvine, CA 92614

Tel: 949.383.4137

**From:** [Daniel Thomas Morgan](#)  
**To:** [CITY CLERK](#); [CITY COUNCIL](#)  
**Subject:** Cannabis Tax Revenue and First-Time Homebuyer Assistance Program  
**Date:** Monday, June 15, 2026 6:18:30 PM

---

Dear Mayor and Members of the City Council,

I am writing to respectfully ask that the Council carefully consider alternative uses for the cannabis tax revenue currently proposed for a first-time homebuyer down payment assistance program.

While I understand and appreciate the desire to improve housing affordability, subsidizing demand is rarely an effective long-term solution. Economic theory and real-world experience have repeatedly demonstrated that demand-side subsidies can contribute to higher housing prices by increasing purchasing power without increasing the supply of housing. In a constrained housing market, these programs often benefit a small number of recipients while simultaneously putting upward pressure on prices for everyone else. As a result, the broader affordability challenge remains unresolved.

I would encourage the Council to consider whether these funds could be deployed in ways that benefit the entire community rather than a limited number of fortunate applicants.

As a member of the Finance and Pension Advisory Committee (FiPAC), I have become increasingly aware of several critical city needs that remain significantly underfunded. For example:

- The City's Arts and Culture programs continue to operate with limited resources despite the substantial community benefits they provide.
- Our Information Technology infrastructure requires significant investment. Some of the City's network switches are approximately 20 years old, despite having an expected useful life closer to seven years. Aging infrastructure increases operational risk and leaves the City vulnerable to service disruptions and cybersecurity concerns. Just recently, City Hall's email system was down for an extended period, significantly hampering staff productivity.
- Additional funding could help preserve and expand successful public safety and quality-of-life programs, including retaining the Park Ranger position or potentially funding an additional full-time police officer.
- The City could also use these funds to reduce its unfunded pension liabilities, strengthening long-term fiscal stability and reducing future burdens on taxpayers.

Costa Mesa faces no shortage of important priorities competing for limited resources. Before committing cannabis tax revenues to a program that benefits only a small number of households and may inadvertently worsen affordability pressures, I believe the Council should thoroughly evaluate investments that would provide broader and more lasting benefits to the community as a whole.

Thank you for your consideration and for your service to the residents of Costa Mesa.

Sincerely,

Daniel Morgan  
Member, Finance and Pension Advisory Committee (FiPAC)  
Costa Mesa Resident

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities to the Information Technology Department.

**From:** [Priscilla Rocco](#)  
**To:** [CITY CLERK](#)  
**Subject:** Re: The proposed traffic signal at Fairview Road and Belfast Ave.  
**Date:** Friday, June 12, 2026 5:39:52 PM

---

City Council,

It defies logic that instead of putting in the traffic calming speed humps that the Killybrooke residents requested - which are a reasonable cost and easy to install - the city is putting in a new traffic light at Fairview Road and Belfast Ave. with extensive curb changes. This WILL NOT stop the speeding in this family-friendly neighborhood. In fact, residents will probably be in more danger as drivers race on the yellow, trying to beat the red light. Also, in our cost-conscious times, this just doesn't make sense.

Although Costa Mesa loves to tout its community outreach, there was only one meeting on this issue in March 2025, and the number of residents notified was greatly limited. This light will affect everyone who drives on Fairview Road, not just the residents of one tract, therefore it needs outreach to the entire area affected by it.

The City refuses to allow another community meeting, so this light is being put in against the wishes of the residents of this neighborhood, and without the knowledge of people who will be backed up behind the uncoordinated lights on this section for Fairview Road.

This signal light should be rejected because it is expensive, will be a headache of an installation, and will not calm the traffic in these neighborhoods. Listen to the residents and install speed humps like there are on College Ave. It has made that neighborhood behind Target safer for people walking and riding bikes. That's all residents want.

Priscilla Rocco  
Costa Mesa

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities to the Information Technology Department.

**From:** [Lin Anderson](#)  
**To:** [CITY CLERK](#)  
**Subject:** NO NO for Fairview/Belfast light  
**Date:** Monday, June 15, 2026 5:12:38 PM

---

Please rethink adding traffic light@ Belfast as it is already congested area and MesaNorth folks impact to Fairview with Paularino school traffic being last including Mesa High and OCC that traffic is already very congested here..Only 3 car would;d be able to left off Belfast to fit between McCormick light not allowing Mesa North folks an exit...Mesa north already has lights at 3 exits that are long wait congestive build up traffic...Impatient drivers will run into the Fairview wall impacting Bismarck homes..Please rethink and fix pot holes and clean sidewalks and gutters instead..Thank you

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities to the Information Technology Department.

**From:** [TORRES, IVIS](#)  
**To:** [GREEN, BRENDA](#); [TERAN, STACY](#)  
**Subject:** Fw: Signal at Belfast & Fairview  
**Date:** Monday, June 15, 2026 11:01:01 AM  
**Attachments:** [Outlook-a433msab.png](#)  
[Outlook-uwwzgsnr.png](#)  
[Outlook-gibhrkxp.png](#)  
[Outlook-n2wgrfj.png](#)  
[Outlook-khjmwtvx.png](#)

---

Hi Brenda and Stacy,

Please see the public comment below from Gayle Luiso regarding the Belfast signal.  
Thank you!

Kind regards,



**Ivis D. Torres**

Management Aide | Constituent Services Team  
(714) 754-4867 (office) | (949) 629-5032 (cellphone)  
77 Fair Drive | Costa Mesa | CA 92626



Please take into account the environment before printing this email. Thank you!

---

**From:** Gayle Luiso <grammyluiso@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 14, 2026 3:59 PM  
**To:** CITY COUNCIL <CITYCOUNCIL@costamesaca.gov>  
**Subject:** Signal at Belfast & Fairview

Good afternoon,

I am writing to tell you that I am against the addition of a signal light at Belfast! We live on Van Buren Ave and it will slow down and backup traffic on Fairview! We already have enough lights on Fairview, including the addition of a crosswalk and light coming out of the Apartments by Stater Bros. We need to consider what happens on Fairview!!! We have the Fairgrounds, OCC College, Costa Mesa Highschool, a Baptist Church and a very busy shopping area on both sides of the street.

Citizens of Costa Mesa deserve a well thought out plan for Fairview not having things done part and parcel. We don't even understand what the thing is in the middle of Fairview just past Fair Drive.

Safety is first, convenience is second, and keeping the flow of traffic moving is third. The signal at Belfast will lock in the residents in the Presidents/Capital streets. The Irish streets have many options to access Fairview and Baker.

Poor planning is not good for Costa Mesa.

Sincerely,

Gayle and Len Luiso

Residents for almost 50 years

Sent from my iPhone

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities to the Information Technology Department.

**From:** [Cynthia McDonald](#)  
**To:** [CITY CLERK](#)  
**Cc:** [PETTIS, JEFF](#); [REYNOLDS, ARLIS](#); [CHAVEZ, MANUEL](#); [STEPHENS, JOHN](#); [Andrea Marr](#); [GAMEROS, LOREN](#); [BULEY, MIKE](#); [cecilia.gallardo-daly@costamesaca.gov](#)  
**Subject:** June 16, 2026 City Council Meeting - New Business #2 - Opposition to Proposed Traffic Signal at Belfast & Fairview  
**Date:** Tuesday, June 16, 2026 11:13:59 AM  
**Attachments:** [image.png](#)

---

Please accept this as my comment on New Business Item #2 on tonight's agenda.

Dear City Councilmembers,

I respectfully urge you to **reconsider funding** the proposed traffic signal at Belfast Avenue and Fairview Road.

While the project is presented as a safety and traffic improvement, it concentrates investment at a single intersection while **broader, well-documented issues along the Fairview corridor remain unaddressed**. Residents continue to contend with:

- **Potholes** and deteriorating pavement
- **Dying trees** and neglected landscaping
- **Aging and leaking** utility infrastructure
- Sidewalks that are **not ADA-compliant**
- Fragmented and **inconsistent bike lanes**

Allocating **\$752,000** to a **single signal**—while these fundamental deficiencies persist—raises **serious questions about priorities and equity** in infrastructure investment.

Equally concerning is the lack of alignment with community input. Residents of the Killybrooke neighborhood originally **requested traffic-calming measures**, specifically **speed humps**, to address speeding on residential streets. Those **requests were ultimately set aside**, while this signal project—advanced at the request of a single Councilmember—moved forward.

**From:** [GAMEROS, LOREN](#)  
**To:** [HANSON, LIDIAN](#)  
**Subject:** Fw: Belfast Speeding Issues  
**Date:** Sunday, November 24, 2024 8:42:52 PM

---

Hi, can we look at some options for Belfast question? I know that we have a light going in shortly but I want to look at what else. We can do besides having the police department out here. I've had several constituents reach out and now they are writing letters. Obviously this is not an emergency but I surely don't want it to become one. One of the things I was thinking was a permanent speed defactor sign that flashes when people speed but it's not sure where to put it in how. The residents would feel about another utility popping up in front of their house. anyhow. **I don't want to put speed bumps in.** I know that some people are talking about that as well. when you get a chance.

Let's talk about what the options would be. thanks. I appreciate your help.

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone  
Get [Outlook for Android](#)

The public outreach process has also been limited and uneven:

- Only **one community meeting** was held (March 2025 at Halecrest Club)
- Notice was largely **restricted to residents within 500 feet** of the site
- Nearby Mesa North residents east of Fairview Road, who will be directly impacted, received **little to no notification**
- **Requests for additional outreach opportunities were denied**

As a result, **many affected residents remain unaware of this project** and its potential consequences.

From a transportation standpoint, the benefits of this signal are unclear. The proposed signal would be coordinated with McCormack Lane but not with Paularino Avenue, and **no signal is planned at Augusta Street**. This **lack of corridor-wide coordination** calls into question whether traffic flow will improve—or worsen.

In fact, adding another signal to a stretch of Fairview where signals already exist within short distances may:

- Increase congestion and delays
- Lengthen traffic queues
- Disrupt, rather than improve, traffic flow

Safety justification also appears limited. Data from nearby intersections over an 11-year period shows **higher incident levels at existing signalized intersections** compared to unsignalized ones, suggesting that a new signal may not deliver the intended safety benefits. See attached spreadsheet of service calls.

**In summary:**

- The **original community request** has **not been addressed**
- **Public outreach** has been **insufficient** and uneven
- **Many impacted residents remain uninformed**
- Traffic conditions may **worsen** rather than improve
- **Significant funding is being committed without broad community support**
- **More pressing infrastructure needs** along Fairview **remain unaddressed**

Given these concerns, I respectfully ask that you not approve funding for this project and instead prioritize solutions that reflect broader community needs and deliver meaningful improvements along the entire corridor.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Cynthia McDonald

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities to the Information Technology Department.

Search Name:

CAD incidents from 01 Jan 2014 to 20 Aug 2025 where Incident Type Code is 902T, 901T, 481, 483, 480, 901, 901NOI, 901F, 901

Data Type:

CAD incidents

Title	Agency	Incident Ty	Call Date & Time	Incident Number	Case Number	City	Address	Incident Type Code & Description
25008457   ACCIDENT INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	901T	6/30/2025 8:56		25008457	COSTA MESA	FAIRVIEW RD/BELFAST AVE, COSTA MESA, USA	901T - ACCIDENT INJURY
25008147   ACCIDENT NON INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	902T	6/24/25 0:39:00		25008147	COSTA MESA	MCCORMACK LN/FAIRVIEW RD, COSTA MESA, USA	902T - ACCIDENT NON INJURY
25007396   ACCIDENT NON INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	902T	6/7/25 12:01:00		25007396	COSTA MESA	W PAULARINO AVE/FAIRVIEW RD, COSTA MESA, USA	902T - ACCIDENT NON INJURY
25006864   ACCIDENT INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	901T	5/27/25 1:37:00		25006864	COSTA MESA	MCCORMACK LN/FAIRVIEW RD, COSTA MESA, USA	901T - ACCIDENT INJURY
25005300   ACCIDENT NON INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	902T	4/20/25 1:55:00		25005300	COSTA MESA	MCCORMACK LN/FAIRVIEW RD, COSTA MESA, USA	902T - ACCIDENT NON INJURY
25004455   ACCIDENT INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	901T	4/4/25 0:09:00		25004455	COSTA MESA	MCCORMACK LN/FAIRVIEW RD, COSTA MESA, USA	901T - ACCIDENT INJURY
25004436   20001(A) VC   FELONY	Costa Mesa Police Department		4/3/25 13:59:00		25004436	COSTA MESA	FAIRVIEW RD/BELFAST AVE, COSTA MESA, USA	HIT AND RUN RESULTING IN DEATH OR INJURY
25002830   ACCIDENT NON INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	902T	3/2/25 0:17:00		25002830	COSTA MESA	W PAULARINO AVE/FAIRVIEW RD, COSTA MESA, USA	902T - ACCIDENT NON INJURY
25000304   ACCIDENT NON INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	902T	1/7/25 9:38:00		25000304	COSTA MESA	FAIRVIEW RD/BELFAST AVE, COSTA MESA, USA	902T - ACCIDENT NON INJURY
<b>SAME INCIDENT NUMBER ↓</b>					<b>SAME INCIDENT NUMBER ↓</b>			
2025001946   ACCIDENT UNKNOWN IF INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	901	1/7/25 17:38:19	2025001946	25000304	COSTA MESA	FAIRVIEW RD/BELFAST AVE, COSTA MESA, USA	901 - ACCIDENT UNKNOWN IF INJURY
2024113410   HIT AND RUN ACCIDENT	Costa Mesa Police Department	481	12/8/24 17:49:02	2024113410	24016071	COSTA MESA	W PAULARINO AVE/FAIRVIEW RD, COSTA MESA, USA	481 - HIT AND RUN ACCIDENT
2024111077   ACCIDENT NON INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	902T	12/1/24 15:20:06	2024111077	24015752	COSTA MESA	BELFAST AVE/FAIRVIEW RD, COSTA MESA, USA	902T - ACCIDENT NON INJURY
2024074795   ACCIDENT NON INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	902T	8/15/24 17:34:02	2024074795		COSTA MESA	FAIRVIEW RD/AUGUSTA ST, COSTA MESA, USA	902T - ACCIDENT NON INJURY
2024056310   ACCIDENT NON INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	902T	6/22/24 15:39:33	2024056310	24008370	COSTA MESA	FAIRVIEW RD/BELFAST AVE, COSTA MESA, USA	902T - ACCIDENT NON INJURY
2024044397   ACCIDENT NON INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	902T	5/16/24 8:44:42	2024044397	24006627	COSTA MESA	MCCORMACK LN/FAIRVIEW RD, COSTA MESA, USA	902T - ACCIDENT NON INJURY
2024039511   ACCIDENT NON INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	902T	4/30/24 14:44:27	2024039511	24005924	COSTA MESA	MCCORMACK LN/FAIRVIEW RD, COSTA MESA, USA	902T - ACCIDENT NON INJURY
2024038278   ACCIDENT NON INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	902T	4/26/24 12:55:29	2024038278	24005738	COSTA MESA	FAIRVIEW RD/DORSET LN, COSTA MESA, USA	902T - ACCIDENT NON INJURY
2024037878   ACCIDENT NON INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	902T	4/25/24 11:01:55	2024037878	24005683	COSTA MESA	DORSET LN/FAIRVIEW RD, COSTA MESA, USA	902T - ACCIDENT NON INJURY
2023121669   ACCIDENT INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	901T	12/14/23 13:50:56	2023121669	23017891	COSTA MESA	FAIRVIEW RD/DORSET LN, COSTA MESA, USA	901T - ACCIDENT INJURY
2023104781   ACCIDENT INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	901T	10/24/23 12:48:22	2023104781	23015538	COSTA MESA	DORSET AT FAIRVIEW, COSTA MESA, 92626, USA	901T - ACCIDENT INJURY
2023104246   ACCIDENT NON INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	902T	10/22/23 19:16:50	2023104246		COSTA MESA	W PAULARINO AVE/FAIRVIEW RD, COSTA MESA, USA	902T - ACCIDENT NON INJURY
2023099849   ACCIDENT NON INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	902T	10/9/23 12:32:17	2023099849		COSTA MESA	FAIRVIEW RD/DORSET LN, COSTA MESA, USA	902T - ACCIDENT NON INJURY
2023078433   ACCIDENT INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	901T	8/9/23 17:10:47	2023078433	23011735	COSTA MESA	FAIRVIEW RD/MCCORMACK LN, COSTA MESA, USA	901T - ACCIDENT INJURY
2023078142   ACCIDENT NON INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	902T	8/9/23 2:27:45	2023078142		COSTA MESA	FAIRVIEW RD/AUGUSTA ST, COSTA MESA, USA	902T - ACCIDENT NON INJURY
2023065761   ACCIDENT UNKNOWN IF INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	901	7/6/23 17:31:54	2023065761		COSTA MESA	FAIRVIEW RD/MCCORMACK LN, COSTA MESA, USA	901 - ACCIDENT UNKNOWN IF INJURY
2023052790   ACCIDENT INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	901T	6/2/23 6:16:21	2023052790	23008042	COSTA MESA	W PAULARINO AVE/FAIRVIEW RD, COSTA MESA, USA	901T - ACCIDENT INJURY
2022129139   ACCIDENT INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	901T	12/24/22 14:34:41	2022129139	22020081	COSTA MESA	FAIRVIEW RD/MCCORMACK LN, COSTA MESA, USA	901T - ACCIDENT INJURY
2022129127   ACCIDENT NON INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	902T	12/24/22 14:00:03	2022129127		COSTA MESA	W PAULARINO AVE/FAIRVIEW RD, COSTA MESA, USA	902T - ACCIDENT NON INJURY
2022125893   ACCIDENT INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	901T	12/15/22 7:57:16	2022125893	22019542	COSTA MESA	W PAULARINO AVE/FAIRVIEW RD, COSTA MESA, USA	901T - ACCIDENT INJURY
2022097863   ACCIDENT NON INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	902T	9/22/22 17:45:22	2022097863	22015201	COSTA MESA	FAIRVIEW RD/DORSET LN, COSTA MESA, USA	902T - ACCIDENT NON INJURY
2022059691   ACCIDENT NON INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	902T	6/12/22 16:28:28	2022059691		COSTA MESA	FAIRVIEW RD/MCCORMACK LN, COSTA MESA, USA	902T - ACCIDENT NON INJURY
2022047168   ACCIDENT NON INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	902T	5/10/22 20:37:39	2022047168		COSTA MESA	DORSET LN/FAIRVIEW RD, COSTA MESA, USA	902T - ACCIDENT NON INJURY
2022041268   ACCIDENT NON INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	902T	4/25/22 14:56:32	2022041268		COSTA MESA	MCCORMACK LN/FAIRVIEW RD, COSTA MESA, USA	902T - ACCIDENT NON INJURY
2022033626   ACCIDENT NON INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	902T	4/5/22 9:04:00	2022033626		COSTA MESA	MCCORMACK LN/FAIRVIEW RD, COSTA MESA, USA	902T - ACCIDENT NON INJURY
2022029823   ACCIDENT NON INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	902T	3/25/22 12:05:52	2022029823		COSTA MESA	MCCORMACK LN/FAIRVIEW RD, COSTA MESA, USA	902T - ACCIDENT NON INJURY
2022022047   ACCIDENT NON INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	902T	3/4/22 8:11:56	2022022047	22003610	COSTA MESA	MCCORMACK LN/FAIRVIEW RD, COSTA MESA, USA	902T - ACCIDENT NON INJURY
2022018584   ACCIDENT NON INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	902T	2/22/22 7:13:07	2022018584	22003002	COSTA MESA	1201 MCCORMACK LN, COSTA MESA, USA	902T - ACCIDENT NON INJURY
2022010932   ACCIDENT NON INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	902T	1/31/22 19:54:00	2022010932		COSTA MESA	FAIRVIEW RD/MCCORMACK LN, COSTA MESA, USA	902T - ACCIDENT NON INJURY
2021124712   ACCIDENT NON INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	902T	12/7/21 13:53:08	2021124712		COSTA MESA	FAIRVIEW RD/MCCORMACK LN, COSTA MESA, USA	902T - ACCIDENT NON INJURY
2021113914   ACCIDENT NON INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	902T	11/6/21 14:25:12	2021113914	21018433	COSTA MESA	BELFAST AVE/FAIRVIEW RD, COSTA MESA, USA	902T - ACCIDENT NON INJURY
2021113907   ACCIDENT NON INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	902T	11/6/21 13:39:03	2021113907	21018432	COSTA MESA	BELFAST AVE/FAIRVIEW RD, COSTA MESA, USA	902T - ACCIDENT NON INJURY
2021078573   ACCIDENT INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	901T	8/2/21 13:39:48	2021078573	21012588	COSTA MESA	W PAULARINO AVE/FAIRVIEW RD, COSTA MESA, USA	901T - ACCIDENT INJURY
2021078566   ACCIDENT INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	901T	8/2/21 13:10:40	2021078566	21012587	COSTA MESA	W PAULARINO AVE/FAIRVIEW RD, COSTA MESA, USA	901T - ACCIDENT INJURY
2021071298   ACCIDENT UNKNOWN IF INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	901	7/14/21 4:46:42	2021071298	21011504	COSTA MESA	FAIRVIEW RD/MCCORMACK LN, COSTA MESA, USA	901 - ACCIDENT UNKNOWN IF INJURY
2021064038   ACCIDENT NON INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	902T	6/26/21 13:11:16	2021064038	21010373	COSTA MESA	FAIRVIEW RD/MCCORMACK LN, COSTA MESA, USA	902T - ACCIDENT NON INJURY
2021046960   HIT AND RUN ACCIDENT	Costa Mesa Police Department	481	5/10/21 13:15:27	2021046960	21007548	COSTA MESA	FAIRVIEW RD/MCCORMACK LN, COSTA MESA, USA	481 - HIT AND RUN ACCIDENT
2021036289   ACCIDENT INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	901T	4/10/21 15:36:16	2021036289	21005781	COSTA MESA	DORSET LN/FAIRVIEW RD, COSTA MESA, USA	901T - ACCIDENT INJURY
2021013454   ACCIDENT NON INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	902T	2/7/21 14:42:53	2021013454		COSTA MESA	1201 MCCORMACK LN, COSTA MESA, USA	902T - ACCIDENT NON INJURY
2020114376   ACCIDENT INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	901T	11/18/20 12:11:56	2020114376	20015383	COSTA MESA	FAIRVIEW RD/AUGUSTA ST, COSTA MESA, USA	901T - ACCIDENT INJURY
2020112732   ACCIDENT NON INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	902T	11/13/20 17:48:09	2020112732		COSTA MESA	MCCORMACK LN/FAIRVIEW RD, COSTA MESA, USA	902T - ACCIDENT NON INJURY
2020101723   ACCIDENT NON INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	902T	10/13/20 13:02:06	2020101723	20013437	COSTA MESA	MCCORMACK LN/FAIRVIEW RD, COSTA MESA, USA	902T - ACCIDENT NON INJURY
2020025865   HIT AND RUN ACCIDENT	Costa Mesa Police Department	481	3/11/20 17:46:18	2020025865		COSTA MESA	FAIRVIEW RD/BELFAST AVE, COSTA MESA, USA	481 - HIT AND RUN ACCIDENT
2020025084   ACCIDENT NON INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	902T	3/9/20 15:37:24	2020025084		COSTA MESA	FAIRVIEW RD/MCCORMACK LN, COSTA MESA, USA	902T - ACCIDENT NON INJURY
2020012102   ACCIDENT NON INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	902T	2/2/20 9:52:26	2020012102	20001596	COSTA MESA	FAIRVIEW RD/MCCORMACK LN, COSTA MESA, USA	902T - ACCIDENT NON INJURY
2020006184   ACCIDENT NON INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	902T	1/17/20 15:53:03	2020006184		COSTA MESA	FAIRVIEW RD/MCCORMACK LN, COSTA MESA, USA	902T - ACCIDENT NON INJURY
2019134066   ACCIDENT INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	901T	12/21/19 11:31:22	2019134066	19018441	COSTA MESA	MCCORMACK LN/FAIRVIEW RD, COSTA MESA, USA	901T - ACCIDENT INJURY
2019130206   ACCIDENT NON INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	902T	12/11/19 6:50:49	2019130206	19017873	COSTA MESA	FAIRVIEW RD/MCCORMACK LN, COSTA MESA, USA	902T - ACCIDENT NON INJURY
2019119187   ACCIDENT NON INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	902T	11/9/19 19:10:29	2019119187		COSTA MESA	W PAULARINO AVE/FAIRVIEW RD, COSTA MESA, USA	902T - ACCIDENT NON INJURY
2019114061   ACCIDENT NON INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	902T	10/26/19 17:33:57	2019114061		COSTA MESA	FAIRVIEW RD/MCCORMACK LN, COSTA MESA, USA	902T - ACCIDENT NON INJURY
2019103766   ACCIDENT NON INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	902T	9/30/19 9:24:38	2019103766	19014347	COSTA MESA	FAIRVIEW RD/DORSET LN, COSTA MESA, USA	902T - ACCIDENT NON INJURY
2019097556   ACCIDENT NON INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	902T	9/13/19 19:59:59	2019097556		COSTA MESA	FAIRVIEW RD/BELFAST AVE, COSTA MESA, USA	902T - ACCIDENT NON INJURY
2019087474   ACCIDENT NON INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	902T	8/17/19 19:47:09	2019087474		COSTA MESA	FAIRVIEW RD/MCCORMACK LN, COSTA MESA, USA	902T - ACCIDENT NON INJURY
2019067325   HIT AND RUN ACCIDENT	Costa Mesa Police Department	481	6/27/19 13:53:13	2019067325	19009488	COSTA MESA	FAIRVIEW RD/MCCORMACK LN, COSTA MESA, USA	481 - HIT AND RUN ACCIDENT
2019061649   ACCIDENT NON INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	902T	6/12/19 15:52:30	2019061649	19008735	COSTA MESA	FAIRVIEW RD/BELFAST AVE, COSTA MESA, USA	902T - ACCIDENT NON INJURY
2019051607   ACCIDENT NON INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	902T	5/16/19 12:01:05	2019051607	19007376	COSTA MESA	MCCORMACK LN/FAIRVIEW RD, COSTA MESA, USA	902T - ACCIDENT NON INJURY
2019038030   HIT AND RUN ACCIDENT	Costa Mesa Police Department	481	4/9/19 21:40:37	2019038030		COSTA MESA	FAIRVIEW RD/MCCORMACK LN, COSTA MESA, USA	481 - HIT AND RUN ACCIDENT
2019028409   ACCIDENT NON INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	902T	3/15/19 16:18:02	2019028409		COSTA MESA	FAIRVIEW RD/DORSET LN, COSTA MESA, USA	902T - ACCIDENT NON INJURY
2019026370   ACCIDENT NON INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	902T	3/10/19 21:00:05	2019026370		COSTA MESA	FAIRVIEW RD/MCCORMACK LN, COSTA MESA, USA	902T - ACCIDENT NON INJURY

Title	Agency	Incident Ty	Call Date & Time	Incident Number	Case Number	City
2019025969   ACCIDENT NON INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	902T	3/9/19 18:23:23	2019025969	19003819	COSTA MESA
2019025954   ACCIDENT NON INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	902T	3/9/19 17:33:00	2019025954	19003817	COSTA MESA
2019013166   ACCIDENT NON INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	902T	2/5/19 7:58:33	2019013166	19001793	COSTA MESA
2019007051   ACCIDENT UNKNOWN IF INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	901	1/19/19 23:23:11	2019007051		COSTA MESA
2018104598   ACCIDENT NON INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	902T	10/6/18 17:25:48	2018104598		COSTA MESA
2018093166   HIT AND RUN ACCIDENT	Costa Mesa Police Department	481	9/6/18 18:07:26	2018093166		COSTA MESA
2018078840   ACCIDENT NON INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	902T	7/31/18 20:47:05	2018078840		COSTA MESA
2018039449   ACCIDENT INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	901T	4/19/18 7:33:12	2018039449	18005330	COSTA MESA
2018003255   HIT AND RUN ACCIDENT	Costa Mesa Police Department	481	1/9/18 20:06:40	2018003255		COSTA MESA
2017130834   ACCIDENT INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	901T	12/14/17 18:05:47	2017130834	17016503	COSTA MESA
2017104681   ACCIDENT NON INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	902T	10/4/17 12:29:15	2017104681	17013274	COSTA MESA
2017021920   ACCIDENT INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	901T	3/1/17 9:12:19	2017021920	17002853	COSTA MESA
2017021907   ACCIDENT INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	901T	3/1/17 8:52:09	2017021907	17002852	COSTA MESA
2016123124   ACCIDENT UNKNOWN IF INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	901	11/14/16 13:17:23	2016123124		COSTA MESA
2016114643   ACCIDENT UNKNOWN IF INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	901	10/23/16 19:09:41	2016114643		COSTA MESA
2016106061   ACCIDENT NON INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	902T	10/1/16 8:54:29	2016106061		COSTA MESA
2016104839   ACCIDENT INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	901T	9/28/16 13:02:06	2016104839	16013569	COSTA MESA
2016050672   ACCIDENT INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	901T	5/18/16 10:19:50	2016050672	16006976	COSTA MESA
2016045328   ACCIDENT INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	901T	5/3/16 18:10:11	2016045328	16006275	COSTA MESA
2016012938   HIT AND RUN ACCIDENT	Costa Mesa Police Department	481	2/6/16 10:42:02	2016012938		COSTA MESA
2015127344   HIT AND RUN ACCIDENT	Costa Mesa Police Department	481	12/18/15 17:32:54	2015127344	15016965	COSTA MESA
2015091946   ACCIDENT INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	901T	9/13/15 17:57:23	2015091946	15012248	COSTA MESA
2015071740   ACCIDENT INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	901T	7/25/15 8:31:20	2015071740	15009607	COSTA MESA
2015031564   ACCIDENT NON INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	902T	4/5/15 0:03:35	2015031564		COSTA MESA
2014078567   HIT AND RUN ACCIDENT	Costa Mesa Police Department	481	12/10/14 8:00:50	2014078567		COSTA MESA
2014075977   ACCIDENT INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	901T	12/2/14 10:30:30	2014075977	14011852	COSTA MESA
2014051632   ACCIDENT NON INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	902T	9/14/14 15:28:10	2014051632	14009026	COSTA MESA
2014048519   HIT AND RUN ACCIDENT	Costa Mesa Police Department	481	9/4/14 13:22:19	2014048519	14008658	COSTA MESA
2014034227   HIT AND RUN TC PROPERTY DAMAGE	Costa Mesa Police Department	483	7/21/14 13:05:04	2014034227	14007103	COSTA MESA
2014017074   ACCIDENT NON INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	902T	5/30/14 15:48:51	2014017074	14005350	COSTA MESA
2014011353   ACCIDENT NON INJURY	Costa Mesa Police Department	902T	5/12/14 18:43:14	2014011353		COSTA MESA

Belfast = 14  
Augusta = 6  
McCormack = 46  
Paularino = 12  
Dorset = 15

Address	Incident Type Code & Description
1201 MCCORMACK LN, COSTA MESA, USA	902T - ACCIDENT NON INJURY
1201 MCCORMACK LN, COSTA MESA, USA	902T - ACCIDENT NON INJURY
FAIRVIEW RD/BELFAST AVE, COSTA MESA, USA	902T - ACCIDENT NON INJURY
MCCORMACK LN/FAIRVIEW RD, COSTA MESA, USA	901 - ACCIDENT UNKNOWN IF INJURY
FAIRVIEW RD/BELFAST AVE, COSTA MESA, USA	902T - ACCIDENT NON INJURY
FAIRVIEW RD/AUGUSTA ST, COSTA MESA, USA	481 - HIT AND RUN ACCIDENT
W PAULARINO AVE/FAIRVIEW RD, COSTA MESA, USA	902T - ACCIDENT NON INJURY
FAIRVIEW RD/MCCORMACK LN, COSTA MESA, USA	901T - ACCIDENT INJURY
FAIRVIEW RD/AUGUSTA ST, COSTA MESA, USA	481 - HIT AND RUN ACCIDENT
DORSET LN/FAIRVIEW RD, COSTA MESA, USA	901T - ACCIDENT INJURY
FAIRVIEW RD/DORSET LN, COSTA MESA, USA	902T - ACCIDENT NON INJURY
MCCORMACK LN/FAIRVIEW RD, COSTA MESA, USA	901T - ACCIDENT INJURY
MCCORMACK LN/FAIRVIEW RD, COSTA MESA, USA	901T - ACCIDENT INJURY
MCCORMACK LN/FAIRVIEW RD, COSTA MESA, USA	901 - ACCIDENT UNKNOWN IF INJURY
W PAULARINO AVE/FAIRVIEW RD, COSTA MESA, USA	901 - ACCIDENT UNKNOWN IF INJURY
W PAULARINO AVE/FAIRVIEW RD, COSTA MESA, USA	902T - ACCIDENT NON INJURY
MCCORMACK LN/FAIRVIEW RD, COSTA MESA, USA	901T - ACCIDENT INJURY
BELFAST AVE/FAIRVIEW RD, COSTA MESA, USA	901T - ACCIDENT INJURY
W PAULARINO AVE/FAIRVIEW RD, COSTA MESA, USA	901T - ACCIDENT INJURY
FAIRVIEW RD/DORSET LN, COSTA MESA, USA	481 - HIT AND RUN ACCIDENT
FAIRVIEW RD/DORSET LN, COSTA MESA, USA	481 - HIT AND RUN ACCIDENT
BELFAST AVE/FAIRVIEW RD, COSTA MESA, USA	901T - ACCIDENT INJURY
W PAULARINO AVE/FAIRVIEW RD, COSTA MESA, USA	901T - ACCIDENT INJURY
FAIRVIEW RD/MCCORMACK LN, COSTA MESA, USA	902T - ACCIDENT NON INJURY
FAIRVIEW RD/MCCORMACK LN, COSTA MESA, USA	481 - HIT AND RUN ACCIDENT
FAIRVIEW RD/MCCORMACK LN, COSTA MESA, USA	901T - ACCIDENT INJURY
W PAULARINO AVE/FAIRVIEW RD, COSTA MESA, USA	902T - ACCIDENT NON INJURY
W PAULARINO AVE/FAIRVIEW RD, COSTA MESA, USA	481 - HIT AND RUN ACCIDENT
FAIRVIEW RD/AUGUSTA ST, COSTA MESA, USA	483 - HIT AND RUN TC PROPERTY DAMAGE
3193 FAIRVIEW RD, COSTA MESA, USA	902T - ACCIDENT NON INJURY
MCCORMACK LN/FAIRVIEW RD, COSTA MESA, USA	902T - ACCIDENT NON INJURY

June 12, 2026

City Council  
City Of Costa Mesa  
77 Fair Drive  
Costa Mesa, CA 92627

Dear City Council:

I'm a 20+ year Costa Mesa resident and I've been using the Senior Taxi Service on a regular basis since I heard about it a little more than a year ago during my initial recovery from major emergency surgery. Since that time, it has been enormously beneficial during my recovery - transporting me to physical therapy twice a week as well as to various medical and lab appointments. I expect the free Senior Taxi Service provided by the City has been equally beneficial for others in similar circumstances.


Unfortunately, if the proposed major changes to the cost and availability of this vital medical transportation service are implemented I, like many others, will no longer be able to use it and will need to alter, even reduce, future medical treatment(s).

Based on my experience using the taxi service, and as a professional who has worked with non-profit organizations for years, I would like to offer the following ideas to preserve this valuable program for the City's senior community:

- Secure additional financial sponsors to support the program in its current free form – suggestions include Hoag, Kaiser, Memorial Care, Providence, South Coast Plaza, etc.
- Create a “tiered” system, where medical rides are prioritized, and remain free, and are not limited to the proposed 4x/month limit, and shopping rides have a co-pay and limit – this would continue to benefit those who rely most on the service to get them to physical therapy, cancer treatment, dialysis, etc.
- Create a “membership” model where people who use the service more often – such as regular physical therapy, cancer treatment, dialysis, etc. can contribute in advance (monthly, quarterly). They can be given a customer number based on their service level (i.e. Platinum, Gold, Silver) so the dispatcher would deliver the service level when members call in for service.
- Create a voucher system similar to those used by Cypress and Orange where residents can purchase rides in advance, based on anticipated needs. This eliminates the potential of credit card and/or identity theft by having to swipe a credit card every time a ride is needed. This system could possibly be expanded to include extended hours of service and use.

Thank you in advance for your consideration of these ideas. Please feel free to contact me to discuss these and/or other ideas to preserve the Senior Taxi Service.

Sincerely,



James Goss  
PO Box 11103  
Costa Mesa  
(949) 826-6330

To: the City of Costa Mesa

First, just want to Thank You for such a fantastic Senior Taxi Program that has enriched the citizens and community of Costa Mesa.

We are long time residents of Costa Mesa. We are the largest population, "the Baby Boomers". Many who have strong historical connection with the city since it was incorporated in 1953.

We are aging gracefully and love Costa Mesa. Couldn't think of anywhere else that we would love to live.

I beg you to consider the positive value of healthy, social, economic & mental health. The longtime effect of keeping an active Costa Mesa community... Educational classes, exercise, activities, and daily nutritional lunches @ CMSC are the <sup>Best</sup> and the envy of other cities. For many widows and widowers, the daily lunch program is their only balanced nutritional meal for the whole day. They have socialization, activities, friendship, hobbies & exercise. Keep many healthy and involved. The medical and shopping in CM Benefits us all, as a community and society. Keeping us active and connected to each other & modern lifestyle. Risks of isolation and depression are lower.

Please consider keeping rides to the Senior Center - Free or @ very nominal low cost.

For some who are @ fixed income - or - at lower economic level, this is their lifeline to a better quality of life.

I understand the present situation may hamper our good intentions, but I ask the city council to be open

(cont.)  
Re: Senior Taxi Program

for future grants, sponsors or a better economy.

Someday,

We ALL

WILL AGE and

I hope there will

still be a program for

you - or - your parents.


Thank you for your time.

Donna Ogata

To whom it may concern,

To me it is very important and it makes me very happy that I get to come to the Costa Mesa Senior Center and play pool, Tai Chi, and Hawaiian Dance. My English is limited but I will continue to make improvements. Although English is my second language I practice every day. The Costa Mesa Senior Center gives me a great impression – its warmth gives me positive feelings and happiness. I am worried about transportation, I hope it continues to run the way it has been for a long time. The transportation program provides me rides to doctor's appointments. I am 77 years old and I hope I can continue to be as active as I am. Seniors wish to have people to take care of them and take them places they would like to go. Being a senior, transportation is very important. Without the transportation program staying the same it would feel like I am disabled, unable to continue to be as active as I am. I love America, it is the best country in the world because it loves and protects its elderly unconditionally. I hope the transportation program can remain accessible for seniors for it to continue to take care of our needs. I truly love the United States.

Thank you,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Diana", with a long, sweeping flourish extending upwards and to the right.

Diana Falk

senior center costa mesa 对于我係  
好重要. 我很开心每天来到这里  
play pool ball, 打太极, 跳夏威夷  
舞. 我的英文程度有限,  
但我会继续努力读好它.

English is my second language I  
practice every day, costa mesa 老人中  
心. 给我感觉好温暖. 释怀  
令我心情舒畅, 我最担心  
就係 transportation, 希望永远  
唔会终止. 载我们去睇医生  
诊病. 我今年已是 77 岁. 所以  
很希望千祈唔好停顿. 老人

很渴望有人照顧，每天大地  
去消遣，开开心心過每一天，交  
通工具對我這個老人係好重  
要，不對，沒有它等於殘廢一

樣。I loved America County, best  
in the world. 愛護老人無微不至，  
希望繼續永遠像以往 take care,  
別改變，永遠照顧下去，係我  
心中所盼望。I am truly love United  
states.


DIANA FALK

6.15.2026

To whom it may concern,

I am a member of the Costa Mesa Senior Center. At the senior center we have many activities from Monday to Friday. The center is like my second home. I do physical and mental exercises, I also listen to many health conferences, such as preventing illnesses and nutrition from U.C.I. and HOAG Hospital. I like to attend all the classes and conferences the senior center has to offer, but I need to have the service of the Senior Taxi Program for more days in the week and a reasonable price that takes us and brings us to and from our home. I hope you will listen to and hear my concerns at the transportation meeting.

Thank you very much,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Jorge Figueroa". The signature is fluid and somewhat stylized, with the first letter 'J' being particularly large and looping.

Jorge Figueroa

Yo soy miembro del Costa Mesa

Senior Center y tenemos muchas actividades todos los días de Lunes a Viernes. El Centro es para mí como mi segundo hogar, hago ejercicios físicos y mentales, también escuchamos muchas conferencias de salud, como prevenir enfermedades y Nutrición de U.C.I. y HONC Hospitala

Me gustaría atender todas conferencias, pero necesito tener el servicio de Senior Taxi program por más días a la semana y un precio razonable que nos lleve y traiga a casa.

Espero que me escuchan en el meeting de transporte.

y doy muchas gracias

George