Hello.

I am writing again because I never heard any reply to my previous email.

I have again received multiple parking tickets over the past couple of months due to the insane parking laws and enforcement on Shalimar Dr. The extremely frustrating thing about this issue is that now on 3 separate occasions since my last email, I have received parking tickets within the ten minutes it takes me to get groceries carried into my house and put away before I have to go down and move my car blocks away from my home. This is insane. And now it appears that the tickets have increased in cost to \$42.50. This is absolutely ridiculous. The police do nothing in this neighborhood except hand out parking tickets. The city should feel ashamed for what it is doing to my neighborhood. People just want to be able to park at their homes and not blocks away.

Something needs to be done.

William Wroe

On Mon, May 19, 2025 at 10:17 PM Chance Wroe <<u>chance.wroe@gmail.com</u>> wrote: Hello,

As a resident of Costa Mesa who lives on Shalimar Drive, I am emailing you today to see how we can get the street updated to allow for parking. It has been a no-parking zone the entire time I've lived here and has caused myself and my neighbors a lot of trouble over the years. Because the entire street is marked as a no parking zone, the surrounding streets all fill up quickly and are often full the entire day, leaving nowhere to park within blocks of our homes. Because of this many people often risk a ticket and still park on the street and often receive tickets as well.

There is no good reason for this street be marked as a no parking zone and it needs to be updated for the residents of this neighborhood.

Please take time to review this and see if there is anyway that we can update this.

Thank you, William Wroe

From:	Dave Adams
То:	CITY CLERK
Subject:	Question for July 15 City Council Meeting
Date:	Tuesday, July 15, 2025 10:43:59 AM

Hello there! I will be attending the city council meeting this evening and wanted to submit a question/topic for discussion:

What is being done about the large number of illegal fireworks being set off in neighborhoods all over Costa Mesa? These fireworks are even being set off outside the July 2-4 legal dates. It has become a serious public nuisance—with loud booms going off as early as 8am and as late as midnight all throughout June and July.

Thank you,

Dave Adams

-----Original Message-----From: Flo Martin <flomama@aol.com> Sent: Saturday, July 12, 2025 12:22 PM To: CITY COUNCIL <CITYCOUNCIL@costamesaca.gov> Subject: Illegal fireworks

Dear Council members,

Last night two illegal "bombs" were ignited and "boomed" into my house. The first awoke me at 10 pm. The second rattled my cage around 10:30 pm.

I urge you to contact CMPD and ask officers to monitor Fair Drive, between Loyola and Fordham, in the late evening hours.

Flo Martin 2442 Andover Pl CM 949.933.3699 "It is when we are alone that we are the least alone." St. Augustine CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless

you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities to the Information Technology Department.

From:	ESCOBAR, SERGIO
То:	CITY CLERK
Cc:	TORRES, IVIS; MUNOZ, SANDY
Subject:	FW: Housing at Fairview Developmental Center
Date:	Thursday, July 10, 2025 10:21:08 AM
Attachments:	image001.wmz image003.png image004.png image005.png image006.png image007.png

Hello City Clerk Team,

Please see the public comment below regarding FDC.

Best regards,

Sergio Escobar Management Analyst City Manager's Office O: (714) 754-5156 | C: (714) 925-7457 77 Fair Drive | Costa Mesa | CA 92626



From: Margaret Mooney <mrm492608@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, July 6, 2025 4:32 PM
To: CONSTITUENT SERVICES <constituentservices@costamesaca.gov>
Subject: Housing at Fairview Developmental Center

I am writing to express my vote and admiration for the proposal for 4,000

residences. I appreciate the staff recommendation for a plan to get the city closer to the number of residences needed to comply with State requirements.

I appreciate the need for retail space, certainly a grocery store with a drug store. I am not concerned about the smaller amount of open space. The residences are close to Fairview park and Wilson park. I would appreciate notification of a date that this item will be on the Council agenda as that is a meeting I would like to attend.

Thank you for your attention to this letter.

Margaret Mooney, 714-322-8261

Costa Mesa resident

Dear Members of the City Planning Department,

I am writing as a homeowner and resident of Costa Mesa to formally express my strong opposition to the proposed installation of a cell phone tower near my property on Placentia Ave./Federal Ave.

I have serious concerns about the impact this would have on pur community. Specifically:

- Numerous studies have shown that proximity to cell towers can negatively affect home values. As a homeowner who has invested significantly in my property, this is extremely concerning.
- A cell tower would be visually intrusive and detract from the character and beauty of our residential neighborhood.
- While the scientific community continues to evaluate the long-term health impacts of living near such towers, many residents are understandably wary of any possible risks
- There has been no meaningful community engagement or outreach to gather feedback from affected residents, which I believe should be a requirement before moving forward with any installation in a residential area.

I respectfully urge the City to reject this proposal or consider alternative locations away from homes, schools, and community spaces. Our neighborhood should not bear the burden of infrastructure that benefits broader service areas at the expense of our local environment, home values, and peace of mind.

Thank you for your attention to this matter and for considering the concerns of the residents you serve.

Sincerely,

Dana Kalionzes

Joseph Miner PO Box 11650 Costa Mesa, CA 92627 (949) 646-4466 .office (949) 646-2794 .fax (949) 903-5051 .cell (800) 738-2583 .toll free josephminer@gmail.com

July 12, 2025

City of Costa Mesa Members of the City Council CC: Supervisor, Building and Safety 77 Fair Drive, Costa Mesa, CA 92627

VIA US postal mail and email

RE: 2323 Fordham Drive, Costa Mesa, CA, ISSUE #2

Ladies and Gentlemen,

This letter regards yet another issue with the rubber-stamp approvals issued at City of Costa Mesa building and safety.

Two construction projects are taking place adjacent to the home I purchased 20+ years ago. I have informed the City there are issues.

I noticed issues with the home next door being mansionized (like homes that have destroyed Newport Heights) next door at 2323 Fordham Drive. It's a total white elephant and does not complement the neighborhood at all.

Setbacks '<u>protect</u>' open space between homes <u>for a reason</u>. State law permits ADU construction 4' from a "naked" property line. A naked property line is a boundary line with no structure at all. <u>Cities retain control over</u> <u>what they approve</u>. (Scurlock v. City of Coronado 2024). I certainly did not buy in suburb-style College Park to be surrounded by two-story ADUs 4' from my property line on every side. Just imagine that!

The ADU at 2314 College is constructed both too close to the 7' privacy wall (structure), and is constructed within the 5' SCE easement. It was approved by your building department. There are many issues with the ADU.

The City could have forced the homeowner to place ADU somewhere else, the City was not forced by law to permit the construction because the City has existing development standards including the distance between "structures" and a zillion other regulations that can be drawn upon. The ADU could have been placed in the front yard, over the garage, or as an attachment to the home. My expert will detail every single construction violation of the ADU.

Its another white elephant. It looks like a new "mobile" was dropped in. The real estate agents who sold the 2.4 million dollar home down the street were aghast and stated many buyers <u>would not even put an offer in on</u> <u>my home</u> after seeing it and how it affects the ambiance of my home.

The remodel at 2323 Fordham was permitted based on an unlicensed 'draftsman's' plans. <u>No professional license</u>, no professional designation, no survey, not an architect, not a civil engineer. No survey was completed.

I went to the City. I spoke with several individuals. I was told the following: <u>the City does not check these issues</u>, if the plan submitter lies and we approved the plans, and the home is not constructed where it is required to be, the matter is a civil issue. Really? I don't think so.

I did my research. I am a certified general appraiser. I have 40 years of experience in these issues. I am licensed 30 years to check these issues.

I spoke with the draftsman. No survey was done to establish a boundary line. He has no license, he is not a professional architect, he is not a professional engineer, he simply "<u>guessed</u>" at the building's location and <u>drew a 'false' fence line on the plans</u> stating the fence was constructed 6" off of the boundary line. Does your building department simply take every plan submitter's 'word' without verification? Without a survey? And without verification the home was constructed in its exact planned location after completion? Unfortunately developers lie, contractors lie, draftsman lie, and it's the City's job to check, <u>recheck and verify</u> - <u>its about public safety - not</u> <u>construction fee collection</u>. It is a constant game of cat and mouse.

I spoke with him (draftsman) personally. He has <u>NO CLUE</u> where the exact boundary line is. His fence location on the plans he submitted **was just a guess**. The City closed its eyes approved the plans!

The man's actual comment to me was "what's a few inches" is it really that big of a deal? As a professional, I was astonished!

I know where the fence line is. It has been there for 50 years. I don't know where the boundary line is. But what I do know is that the "draftsman" doesn't know where the boundary line is either, and neither does the homeowner, or the contractor. And I know he plotted a fence line on the plans he submitted that has no basis in fact. And the city approved it.

The fence has been there 50+ years and the building, a complete remodel, is being constructed within the setback, at 4'6" established by the existing fence. I have no reason to believe the fence is not on the property line. It has established the line for 50 years. The building's eves, perhaps other construction, protrudes far into the setback encroaching into the setback open space. <u>This is within the sphere of public protection and safety</u>.

I have no issues with progress. I have issues when a person's **thoughtlessness, greed, and self interest** negatively affect the value of an established innocent <u>adjacent land owner</u>.

My issues arise when a City official, collects a fee, then ministerially and negligently <u>rubber stamps</u> the entire process, no matter what devaluation or <u>permanent nuisance</u> it may cause, without thinking, rather than acting like the City of Coronado and saying "sorry, we think you should do something a little different because the ONLY reason we are here is to protect the public."

Joseph Mi-

Regards, Joseph Miner Lic. 01214541, AG018937



Fighting for a future of abundant housing in Orange County. peopleforhousing.org

July 14, 2025

Mayor John Stephens City of Costa Mesa 77 Fair Drive Costa Mesa, CA 92626

RE: SUPPORT for Item #25-359 at 220 Victoria St.

Dear Mayor Stephens and Members of the City Council,

On behalf of People for Housing – Orange County, I am writing to express our strong support for the proposed 40-unit RCID community near Victoria Place. This thoughtfully designed project represents the kind of smart growth Costa Mesa needs to address our housing shortage and create homeownership opportunities for younger residents and working families.

People for Housing OC is the Yes In My Backyard (YIMBY) organization for Orange County, California. We represent Orange County residents who support more affordable and attainable housing opportunities and more diverse housing options in our communities. Our advocacy is grounded in the belief that everyone should have access to a safe, stable home in the communities where they work, go to school, and raise their families.

This project proposes 36 duplex-style units and four detached units, providing much-needed for-sale homes in a region where homeownership is increasingly out of reach for middle-income households. At a time when Orange County continues to face a critical shortage of housing, especially for young professionals, families, and first-time buyers, this project offers a rare and valuable opportunity.

In addition to addressing the need for housing, the development enhances community connectivity and transportation options. The proposed new sidewalk, Class II bike lane, and nearby OCTA transit stops improve mobility for residents and reflect a forward-thinking



Fighting for a future of abundant housing in Orange County. peopleforhousing.org

approach to sustainable growth. These improvements support Costa Mesa's broader climate, transportation, and livability goals.

Furthermore, this project is fully consistent with the city's zoning and land use policies. At 22.6 units per acre — well below the maximum 30 units per acre allowed — it strikes a balance between density, livability, and neighborhood compatibility. The inclusion of family-sized homes with garage parking, private open space, and rooftop decks offers high-quality housing in a well-connected, desirable area.

We were disappointed to see the Planning Commission recommend denial of this project, especially given the clear public benefit it offers. We urge the City Council to disregard that recommendation and approve this project, which will help Costa Mesa meet its housing goals and provide more pathways to homeownership for current and future residents.

Thank you for your time and consideration. Please do not hesitate to contact me at elizabeth@peopleforhousing.org if you have any questions or require additional information.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Hansburg Cofounder & Director

From:	MUNOZ, SANDY
To:	GREEN, BRENDA; TERAN, STACY
Cc:	ESCOBAR, SERGIO; TORRES, IVIS
Subject:	FW: Support for Cabrillo Street Residential Parking Permit Program – July 15 Council Vote
Date:	Thursday, July 10, 2025 2:59:42 PM
Attachments:	image002.png
	jmage003.wmz
	image005.png
	image006.png
	image007.png
	image008.png
	image008.png image009.png

Good afternoon,

Please see below for public comment.

Best,

Sandy Muñoz Executive Assistant to the City Council O: 714.754.5107 | C: 949.849.1730 77 Fair Drive | Costa Mesa | CA 92626

"The City of Costa Mesa serves our residents, businesses and visitors while promoting a safe, inclusive, and vibrant community."

City Hall is open to the public 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Monday through Thursday and alternating Fridays, except specified holidays.



 $P_{\rm lease}$ consider the environment before printing this email. Thank you!

From: Andrew Layland <andrew@layland.co> Sent: Thursday, July 10, 2025 12:20 PM To: CITY COUNCIL <CITYCOUNCIL@costamesaca.gov> Subject: Support for Cabrillo Street Residential Parking Permit Program – July 15 Council Vote

Dear Honorable Council Members,

My name is **Andrew Layland**, and I'm a resident of Eastside Costa Mesa at **240 Cabrillo Street**. I'm writing on behalf of my neighbors—specifically the stretch of Cabrillo Street between Santa Ana Avenue and Orange Avenue—which has successfully submitted a petition with the required majority of signatures to apply for the **Residential Parking Permit Program**.

We're excited to be on the City Council agenda for **July 15**, and we respectfully request your **YES** vote to allow us to move into Phase 2 of the process. This next phase would give residents the chance to sign up individually through the app and pay the permit fee. If enough residents participate, the program would be implemented; if not, it would sunset. We simply ask for the opportunity to complete this process together.

We deeply value the success of the nearby businesses on 17th Street—it's been wonderful to see them thrive—but this growth has undeniably impacted residential parking in our area. With no street sweeping or time restrictions, overflow parking from commercial activity and long-term vehicle dwellers has made it difficult for residents to park near their homes.

This program will help:

- Restore the neighborhood feel that defines Eastside Costa Mesa
- Improve safety and accessibility for families and emergency services
- Help enforce compliance with **parking variances** previously granted to local businesses
- Allow residents the basic ability to park reasonably close to their own homes

We're approaching this as a unified community, and we're grateful for your service and consideration. We hope you'll support our effort to move forward and make this important decision as a neighborhood.

Warm regards,

Andrew Layland 240 Cabrillo Street Costa Mesa, CA 92627 andrew@layland.co 949-500-5722

AGENDA ITEM

NEW BUSINESS:

1. RESIDENTIAL PERMIT PARKING PROGRAM - CABRILLO STREET BETWEEN ORANGE AVENUE AND SANTA ANA AVENUE 25-364

RECOMMENDATION: Staff recommends the City Council adopt the proposed Resolution No. 2025-xx, approving the implementation of a Residential Permit Parking (RPP) only restriction on Cabrillo Street between Orange Avenue and Santa Ana Avenue, and authorizing the Transportation Services Manager to extend the restriction within a 1,000-foot radius as needed, based on a qualifying petition from affected residents and Council-adopted guidelines.

- 1. Proposed Resolution No. 2025-xx
- 2. Revised RPP Guidelines and Policies
- 3. Cabrillo Map



LAYLAND

ANDREW LAYLAND C (949) 500-5722 andrew@layland.co